

6TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INFORMATICS IN CONTROL, AUTOMATION AND ROBOTICS

Proceedings

Volume 2 - Robotics and Automation

MILAN - ITALY · JULY 2 - 5, 2009



IN COOPERATION WITH





ICINCO 2009

Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Informatics in Control, Automation and Robotics

> Volume 2 Robotics and Automation

> > Milan, Italy

July 2 - 5, 2009

Organized by INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication

> Co-Sponsored by IFAC – International Federation of Automatic Control

In Cooperation with **AAAI – Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence**

Copyright © 2009 INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication All rights reserved

Edited by Joaquim Filipe, Juan Andrade Cetto and Jean-Louis Ferrier

Printed in Portugal ISBN: 978-989-674-000-9 Depósito Legal: 294961/09

http://www.icinco.org secretariat@icinco.org

BRIEF CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS IV
ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES V
PROGRAM COMMITTEE
AUXILIARY REVIEWERSX
Selected Papers BookX
ForewordXI
Contents

INVITED SPEAKERS

Daniel S. Yeung

University of Technology China

Maria Pia Fanti

Polytechnic of Bari

Italy

Janan Zaytoon

University of Reims Champagne Ardennes

France

Alessandro Giua

University of Cagliari

Italy

Peter S. Sapaty

Institute of Mathematical Machines and Systems, National Academy of Sciences

Ukraine

ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES

CONFERENCE CHAIR

Joaquim Filipe, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

PROGRAM CHAIR

Juan Andrade Cetto, Institut de Robòtica i Informàtica Industrial CSIC-UPC, Spain Jean-Louis Ferrier, University of Angers, France

PROCEEDINGS PRODUCTION

Sérgio Brissos, INSTICC, Portugal Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal Vera Coelho, INSTICC, Portugal Andreia Costa, INSTICC, Portugal Bruno Encarnação, INSTICC, Portugal Bárbara Lima, INSTICC, Portugal Raquel Martins, INSTICC, Portugal Carla Mota, INSTICC, Portugal Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal José Varela, INSTICC, Portugal

CD-ROM PRODUCTION

Elton Mendes, INSTICC, Portugal Pedro Varela, INSTICC, Portugal

GRAPHICS PRODUCTION AND WEBDESIGNER

Marina Carvalho, INSTICC, Portugal

SECRETARIAT AND WEBMASTER Marina Carvalho, INSTICC, Portugal

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Arvin Agah, The University of Kansas, U.S.A.

Alessandro Chiuso, Universita di Padova, Italy

Hyo-Sung Ahn, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST), Korea, Republic of

Frank Allgower, University of Stuttgart, Germany

Francesco Amigoni, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Plamen Angelov, Lancaster University, U.K.

Peter Arato, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Hungary

Helder Araújo, University of Coimbra, Portugal

Marco Antonio Arteaga, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico

Vijanth Sagayan Asirvadam, Universiti Technologi PETRONAS, Malaysia

T. Asokan, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, India

Robert Babuska, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Ruth Bars, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Hungary

Adil Baykasoglu, University of Gaziantep, Turkey

Maren Bennewitz, University of Freiburg, Germany

Karsten Berns, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany

Arijit Bhattacharya, Dublin City University, Ireland

Sergio Bittanti, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Stjepan Bogdan, University of Zagreb, Faculty of EE&C, Croatia

Jean-louis Boimond, ISTIA - LISA, France

Djamel Bouchaffra, Oakland University, U.S.A.

Bernard Brogliato, INRIA, France

Edmund Burke, University of Nottingham, U.K.

Clifford Burrows, Innovative Manufacturing Research Centre, U.K.

Dídac Busquets, Universitat de Girona, Spain

Giuseppe Carbone, LARM - Laboratorio di Robotica e Meccatronica, Italy

J. L. Martins de Carvalho, Instituto de Sistemas e Robótica - Porto, Portugal

Alessandro Casavola, University of Calabria, Italy

Riccardo Cassinis, University of Brescia, Italy

Chien Chern Cheah, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Tongwen Chen, University of Alberta, Canada

Wen-Hua Chen, Loughborough University, U.K.

Graziano Chesi, University of Hong Kong, China

Carlos Coello Coello, Cinvestav-IPN, Mexico

Yechiel Crispin, Embry-riddle Aeronautical University, U.S.A.

Michael A. Demetriou, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, U.S.A.

Guilherme DeSouza, University of Missouri, U.S.A.

Jorge Dias, Institute of Systems and Robotics, Portugal

Gamini Dissanayake, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

Denis Dochain, Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgium

Tony Dodd, The University of Sheffield, U.K.

Alexandre Dolgui, Ecole des Mines de Saint Etienne, France

Prabu Dorairaj, Wipro Technologies, India

Marco Dorigo, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

Venky Dubey, Bournemouth University, U.K.

Petr Ekel, Pontifical Catholic University of Minas Gerais, Brazil

Andries Engelbrecht, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Sebastian Engell, University of Dortmund, Germany

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Simon G. Fabri, University of Malta, Malta

Sergej Fatikow, University of Oldenburg, Germany

Jean-marc Faure, Ecole Normale Superieure de Cachan, France

Paolo Fiorini, Università degli Studi di Verona, Italy

Juan J. Flores, University of Michoacan, Mexico

Georg Frey, German Researc Center for Artificial Intelligence - DFKI, Germany

Manel Frigola, Technical University of Catalonia (UPC), Spain

John Qiang Gan, University of Essex, U.K.

Nicholas Gans, National Reasearch Council and Air Force Research Laboratory, U.S.A.

Leonardo Garrido, Monterrey Institute of Technology, Mexico

Andrea Garulli, Universita' di Siena, Italy

Lazea Gheorghe, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Paulo Gil, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

Alessandro Giua, University of Cagliari, Italy

Luis Gomes, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

Dongbing Gu, University of Essex, U.K.

Guoxiang Gu, Louisiana State University, U.S.A.

Jason Gu, Dalhousie University, Canada

Wail Gueaieb, University of Ottawa, Canada

José J. Guerrero, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain

Thomas Gustafsson, Luleå University of Technology, Sweden

Maki K. Habib, Saga University, Japan

Hani Hagras, University of Essex, U.K.

Wolfgang Halang, Fernuniversitaet, Germany

Riad Hammoud, Delphi Corporation, U.S.A.

Uwe D. Hanebeck, Institute for Anthropomatics, Germany

Robert Harrison, The University of Sheffield, U.K.

Dominik Henrich, University of Bayreuth, Germany

Francisco Herrera, University of Granada, Spain

Victor Hinostroza, University of Ciudad Juarez, Mexico

Wladyslaw Homenda, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland

Guoqiang Hu, Kansas State University, U.S.A.

Marc Van Hulle, K. U. Leuven, Belgium

Fumiya Iida, Robot Locomotion Group, U.S.A.

Atsushi Imiya, IMIT Chiba University, Japan

Hisao Ishibuchi, Osaka Prefecture University, Japan

Thira Jearsiripongkul, Thammasat University, Thailand

Dimitrios Karras, Chalkis Institute of Technology, Greece

Dusko Katic, Mihailo Pupin Institute, Serbia

Graham Kendall, University of Nottingham, U.K.

Tamas Keviczky, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Jonghwa Kim, University of Augsburg, Germany

Won-jong Kim, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Waree Kongprawechnon, Thammasat University, Thailand

Israel Koren, University of Massachusetts, U.S.A.

George L. Kovács, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary

H. K. Lam, King's College London, U.K.

Kemal Leblebicio, Middle East Technical University, Turkey

Graham Leedham, University of New England, Australia

Kauko Leiviskä, University of Oulu, Finland

Kang Li, Queen's University Belfast, U.K.

Tsai-Yen Li, National Chengchi University, Taiwan

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Yangmin Li, University of Macau, China

Huei-Yung Lin, National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan

Zongli Lin, University of Virginia, U.S.A.

Jing-Sin Liu, Institute of Information Science, Academis Sinica, Taiwan

Jose Tenreiro Machado, Institute of Engineering of Porto, Portugal

Frederic Maire, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Om Malik, University of Calgary, Canada

Jacek Mandziuk, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland

Hervé Marchand, INRIA, France

Gerard Mckee, The University of Reading, U.K.

Seán McLoone, National University of Ireland (NUI) Maynooth, Ireland

Carlo Menon, Simon Fraser University, Canada

Sanya Mitaim, Thammasat University, Thailand

Pieter Mosterman, The MathWorks, U.S.A.

Rafael Muñoz-salinas, University of Cordoba, Spain

Kenneth Muske, Villanova University, U.S.A.

Andreas Nearchou, University of Patras, Greece

Luciana P. Nedel, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil

Sergiu Nedevschi, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Anton Nijholt, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Hendrik Nijmeijer, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

Juan A. Nolazco-Flores, ITESM, Campus Monterrey, Mexico

Urbano Nunes, University of Coimbra, Portugal

José Valente de Oliveira, Universidade do Algarve, Portugal

Romeo Ortega, LSS/CNRS/Supélec, France

Manuel Ortigueira, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia da Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

Selahattin Ozcelik, Texas A&M University-Kingsville, U.S.A.

Christos Panayiotou, University of Cyprus, Cyprus

Stefano Panzieri, Università degli Studi "Roma Tre", Italy

Igor Paromtchik, INRIA, France

D. T. Pham, Cardiff University, U.K.

Marie-Noëlle Pons, CNRS, France

Raul Marin Prades, Jaume I University, Spain

Jerzy Respondek, Silesian University of Technology, Poland

A. Fernando Ribeiro, Universidade do Minho, Portugal

Robert Richardson, University of Leeds, U.K.

Rodney Roberts, Florida State University, U.S.A.

Juha Röning, University of Oulu, Finland

António Ruano, CSI, Portugal

Fariba Sadri, Imperial College London, U.K.

Carlos Sagüés, University of Zaragoza, Spain

Mehmet Sahinkaya, University of Bath, U.K.

Antonio Sala, Universidad Politecnica de Valencia, Spain

Abdel-badeeh Salem, Ain Shams University, Egypt

Mitsuji Sampei, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan

Medha Sarkar, Middle Tennessee State University, U.S.A.

Jurek Sasiadek, Carleton University, Canada

Daniel Sbarbaro, Universidad de Concepcion, Chile

Carla Seatzu, University of Cagliari, Italy

João Sequeira, Instituto Superior Técnico / Institute for Systems and Robotics, Portugal

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Michael Short, University of Leicester, U.K.

Silvio Simani, University of Ferrara, Italy

Dan Simon, Cleveland State University, U.S.A.

Adam Slowik, Koszalin University of Technology, Poland

Michael Small, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

Burkhard Stadlmann, University of Applied Sciences Wels, Austria

Tarasiewicz Stanislaw, Université Laval, Canada

Karl Stol, University of Auckland, New Zealand

Olaf Stursberg, Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Germany

Chun-Yi Su, Concordia University, Canada

Cornel Sultan, Virginia Tech, U.S.A.

Ryszard Tadeusiewicz, AGH University of Science and Technology, Poland

Choon Yik Tang, University of Oklahoma, U.S.A.

Daniel Thalmann, VR Lab EPFL, Switzerland

N. G. Tsagarakis, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Italy

Antonios Tsourdos, Cranfield University (Cranfield Defence and Security), U.K.

Nikos Tsourveloudis, Technical University of Crete, Greece

Anthony Tzes, University of Patras, Greece

Dariusz Ucinski, University of Zielona Gora, Poland

Nicolas Kemper Valverde, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico

Eloisa Vargiu, University of Cagliari, Italy

Laurent Vercouter, Ecole Nationale Superieure des Mines de Saint-Etienne, France

Bernardo Wagner, University of Hannover, Germany

Axel Walthelm, sepp.med GmbH, Germany

Dianhui Wang, La Trobe University, Australia

Qing-Guo Wang, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Zidong Wang, Brunel University, U.K.

James Whidborne, Cranfield University, U.K.

Dirk Wollherr, Technische Universität München, Germany

Marek Zaremba, Université du Québec (UQO), Canada

Janan Zaytoon, University of Reims Champagne Ardennes, France

Qin Zhang, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, U.S.A.

AUXILIARY REVIEWERS

Andrea Baccara, Università degli Studi di Cagliari, Italy

Rui Cortesao, University of Coimbra, Portugal

Matteo de Felice, ENEA, Italy

Andrea Gasparri, University of Roma Tre, Italy

Zhi Han, The MathWorks, U.S.A.

Vitor Jorge, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

Enrico di Lello, Universita' degli Studi "Roma Tre", Italy

Andrea Monastero, University of Verona, Italy

Federico di Palma, University of Verona, Italy

Maura Pasquotti, Universita' degli Studi di Verona, Italy

Katalin Popovici, The MathWorks, France

Monica Reggiani, University of Padua, Italy

Maurizio di Rocco, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Italy

P. Lopes dos Santos Santos, Instituto de Sistemas e Robótica - Porto, Portugal

Thomas Tometzki, Process Dynamics and Operations Group, Germany

Ali Emre Turgut, IRIDIA, Belgium

Maja Varga, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, Croatia

Kai Wurm, Institute of Computer Science, Germany

SELECTED PAPERS BOOK

A number of selected papers presented at ICINCO 2009 will be published by Springer-Verlag in a LNEE Series book. This selection will be done by the Conference chair and Program Co-chairs, among the papers actually presented at the conference, based on a rigorous review by the ICINCO 2009 Program Committee members.

This book contains the proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Informatics in Control, Automation and Robotics (ICINCO 2009) which was organized by the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication (INSTICC) and held in Milan. ICINCO 2009 was co-sponsored by the International Federation for Automatic Control (IFAC) and held in cooperation with the Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AAAI).

The ICINCO Conference Series has now consolidated as a major forum to debate technical and scientific advances presented by researchers and developers both from academia and industry, working in areas related to Control, Automation and Robotics that benefit from Information Technology.

In the Conference Program we have included oral presentations (full papers and short papers) and posters, organized in three simultaneous tracks: "Intelligent Control Systems and Optimization", "Robotics and Automation" and "Systems Modeling, Signal Processing and Control". We have included in the program five plenary keynote lectures, given by internationally recognized researchers, namely - Daniel S. Yeung (University of Technology, China), Maria P. Fanti (Polytechnic of Bari, Italy), Janan Zaytoon (University of Reims Champagne Ardennes, France), Alessandro Giua (Universita' di Cagliari, Italy) and Peter S. Sapaty (Institute of Mathematical Machines and Systems, National Academy of Sciences Ukraine).

The meeting is complemented with three satellite workshops, focusing on specialized aspects of Informatics in Control, Automation and Robotics; namely, the International Workshop on Artificial Neural Networks and Intelligent Information Processing (ANNIIP), the International Workshop on Intelligent Vehicle Controls & Intelligent Transportation Systems (IVC & ITS) and the International Workshop on Networked Embedded and Control System Technologies: European and Russian R&D Cooperation (NESTER).

ICINCO received 365 paper submissions, not including those of workshops, from more than 55 countries, in all continents. To evaluate each submission, a double blind paper review was performed by the Program Committee. Finally, only 178 papers are published in these proceedings and presented at the conference. Of these, 129 papers were selected for oral presentation (34 full papers and 95 short papers) and 49 papers were selected for poster presentation. The full paper acceptance ratio was 9%, and the oral acceptance ratio (including full papers and short papers) was 35%. As in previous editions of the Conference, based on the reviewer's evaluations and the presentations, a short list of authors will be invited to submit extended versions of their papers for a book that will be published by Springer with the best papers of ICINCO 2009.

Conferences are also meeting places where collaboration projects can emerge from social contacts amongst the participants. Therefore, in order to promote the development of re-

search and professional networks the Conference includes in its social program a Conference and Workshops Social Event & Banquet in the evening of July 4 (Saturday).

We would like to express our thanks to all participants. First of all to the authors, whose quality work is the essence of this Conference. Next, to all the members of the Program Committee and auxiliary reviewers, who helped us with their expertise and valuable time. We would also like to deeply thank the invited speakers for their excellent contribution in sharing their knowledge and vision. Finally, a word of appreciation for the hard work of the secretariat; organizing a conference of this level is a task that can only be achieved by the collaborative effort of a dedicated and highly capable team.

Commitment to high quality standards is a major aspect of ICINCO that we will strive to maintain and reinforce next year, including the quality of the keynote lectures, of the workshops, of the papers, of the organization and other aspects of the conference. We look forward to seeing more results of R&D work in Informatics, Control, Automation and Robotics at ICINCO 2010.

Joaquim Filipe Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

Juan Andrade Cetto Institut de Robòtica i Informàtica Industrial CSIC-UPC, Spain

Jean-Louis Ferrier University of Angers, France

INVITED SPEAKERS

KEYNOTE SPEAKERS

SENSITIVITY BASED GENERALIZATION ERROR FOR SUPERVISED LEARNING PROBLEM WITH APPLICATIONS IN MODEL SELECTION AND FEATURE SELECTION	IS-5
Daniel S. Yeung IMPACT OF THE ICT ON THE MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE OF INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS Maria Pia Fanti	IS-7
RECENT ADVANCES IN VERIFICATION AND ANALYSIS OF HYBRID SYSTEMS Janan Zaytoon	IS-13
DISCRETE EVENT DIAGNOSIS USING PETRI NETS Alessandro Giua	IS-15
MEETING THE WORLD CHALLENGES - From Philosophy to Information Technology to Applications <i>Peter S. Sapaty</i>	IS-31

ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION

FULL PAPERS

A PRACTICAL STEREO SYSTEM BASED ON REGULARIZATION AND TEXTURE PROJECTION Federico Tombari and Kurt Konolige	5
LMI-BASED TRAJECTORY PLANNING FOR CLOSED-LOOP CONTROL OF ROBOTIC SYSTEMS WITH VISUAL FEEDBACK <i>Graziano Chesi</i>	13
VARIABLE GEOMETRY TRACKED UNMANNED GROUNDED VEHICLE - Model, Stability and Experiments Jean-Luc Paillat, Philippe Lucidarme and Laurent Hardouin	21
PASSIVITY-BASED DYNAMIC BIPEDALWALKING WITH TERRAIN ADAPTABILITY - Dynamics, Control and Robotic Applications Qining Wang, Long Wang, Jinying Zhu, Yan Huang and Guangming Xie	29
AUTOMATIC APPROACH FOR RECTIFYING BUILDING FACADES FROM A SINGLE UNCALIBRATED IMAGE <i>Wenting Duan and Nigel M. Allinson</i>	37
A DECENTRALIZED COLLISION AVOIDANCE ALGORITHM FOR MULTI-ROBOTS NAVIGATION Defoort Michael, Arnaud Doniec and Noury Bouraqadi	44
INTERFERENCE REDUCTION THROUGH TASK PARTITIONING IN A ROBOTIC SWARM - Or: "Don't you Step on My Blue Suede Shoes!" Giovanni Pini, Arne Brutschy, Mauro Birattari and Marco Dorigo	52

HIERARCHICAL PROBABILISTIC ESTIMATION OF ROBOT REACHABLE WORKSPACE Jing Yang, Patrick Dymond and Michael Jenkin	60
AN ADAPTIVE CLASSIFIER DESIGN FOR ACCURATE SPEECH DATA CLASSIFICATION Omid Dehzangi, Ehsan Younessian and Fariborz Hosseini Fard	67
THREEDIMENSIONAL TRACKING USING OBJECT DEFOCUS - In Twodimensional Scanning Electron Microscope Images <i>Christian Dahmen</i>	72
AUTOMATIC EXTRACTION OF DISTANCE INFORMATION FROM STEREO IMAGES USING TRACKING DATA - In the Scanning Electron Microscope Christian Dahmen, Tim Wortmann, Robert Tunnell and Sergej Fatikow	79
REAL TIME OBJECT DELIMITERS EXTRACTION FOR ENVIRONMENT REPRESENTATION IN DRIVING SCENARIOS Andrei Vatavu, Sergiu Nedevschi and Florin Oniga	86
VISION-BASED AUTONOMOUS APPROACH AND LANDING FOR AN AIRCRAFT USING A DIRECT VISUAL TRACKING METHOD <i>Tiago F. Gonçalves, José R. Azinheira and Patrick Rives</i>	94
LIMITS OF HUMAN INTERACTION IN DYNAMICALLY SIMILAR TELEOPERATION SYSTEMS - Under Unknown Constant Time Delay with Impedance Control V. P. García-Alvizo, V. Parra-Vega, E. Olguín-Díaz and L. G. García-Valdovinos	102
SHORT PAPERS	
MOBILE PLATFORM SELF-LOCALIZATION IN PARTIALLY UNKNOWN DYNAMIC ENVIRONMENTS Patrice Boucher, Sousso Kelouwani and Paul Cohen	113
TELEOPERATION OF A MOBILE ROBOT VIA UMTS LINK Florian Zeiger, Markus Sauer, Lothar Stolz and Klaus Schilling	121
NEW METHODS FOR DISHWARE IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION Trung H. Duong and Larry Hoberock	127
MODEL AND APPROACHES FOR TENSION OF PARALLEL STRUCTURES WITH ELASTIC JOINTS FOR MICRO AND NANO MANIPULATORS <i>D. Chakarov, K. Kostadinov and T. Tiankov</i>	135
A NOVEL VISION-BASED REACTIVE NAVIGATION STRATEGY BASED ON INVERSE PERSPECTIVE TRANSFORMATION Francisco Bonin-Font, Alberto Ortiz and Gabriel Oliver	141
VEHICLE ACCELERATION PREDICTION USING SPECIFIC ROAD CURVATURE POINTS Aušra Vidugirienė, Andriejus Demčenko and Minija Tamošiūnaitė	147
REAL-TIME BIOMETRIC EMOTION ASSESSMENT IN AN IMMERSIVE ENVIRONMENT Vasco Vinhas, Daniel Castro Silva, Luís Paulo Reis and Eugénio Oliveira	153
NEW TYPE OF MULTI-DEGREE-OF-FREEDOM PIEZOELECTRIC ACTUATORS, BASED ON ACTIVE KINEMATIC PAIRS <i>Ramutis Bansevicius, Arunas Lipnickas and Minvydas Ragulskis</i>	159

TWO-STAGE ALGORITHM FOR PATH PLANNING PROBLEM WITH OBSTACLE AVOIDANCE Mustafa Dogan and Nizami Gasilov	165
DIFFERENTIAL-DRIVE STEERING SYSTEM USING PLANETARY GEARING FOR OMNIDIRECTIONAL MOBILE ROBOT Hideo Kitagawa, Takashi Ohno, Yuki Ueno and Kazuhiko Terashima	171
EVALUATION OF SUN POSITION USING THE PHOTOVOLTAIC GENERATION - An Application for Attitude Estimation in Box-Shape Satellites Ronilson Rocha, Caio Pequeno Gouvêia, Alexandre José Ferreira and Talita Bueno Barbosa	177
EXHIBITING PLANAR STRUCTURES FROM EGOMOTION Samia Bouchafa, Antoine Patri and Bertrand Zavidovique	183
USING PASSAGES TO SUPPORT OFF-ROAD ROBOT NAVIGATION Christopher Armbrust, Helge Schäfer and Karsten Berns	189
PROMOTION IN RESCUE ROBOT - According to the Experience Gained by Participating in Bam Earthquake Rescue Operation Pooya Heiraty, Aboozar Aghajani, Hojatollah Shirzadi Laskoukelayeh, Majid Zeraat Pisheh Fard and Sayyed Mohammad Hosseini Monsef	195
FATIGUE RECOGNITION USING EMG SIGNALS AND STOCHASTIC SWITCHED ARX MODEL Hiroyuki Okuda, Fumio Kometani, Shinkichi Inagaki and Tatsuya Suzuki	202
AN EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISON OF NONHOLONOMIC CONTROL METHODS Kang-Zhi Liu	208
COMPARISON BETWEEN MEXICAN HAT AND HAAR WAVELET DESCRIPTORS FOR SHAPE REPRESENTATION Adnan Abou Nabout and Bernd Tibken	214
A NOVEL HAPTIC INTERFACE FOR EXTENDED RANGE TELEPRESENCE - Control and Evaluation Antonia Pérez Arias and Uwe D. Hanebeck	222
POSITION/FORCE CONTROL OF A 1-DOF SET-UP POWERED BY PNEUMATIC MUSCLES Aron Pujana-Arrese, Kepa Bastegieta, Anjel Mendizabal, Ramon Prestamero and Joseba Landaluze	228
SCHEME FOR EVALUATION AND REDUCTION OF MOTION ARTIFACTS IN MOBILE VISION SYSTEMS Christoph Walter, Felix Penzlin and Norbert Elkmann	238
MODEL-DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT IN INDUSTRIAL AUTOMATION - Automating the Development of Industrial Automation Systems using Model Transformations <i>Mathias Maurmaier and Peter Göhner</i>	244
APPEARANCE-BASED DENSE MAPS CREATION - Comparison of Compression Techniques with Panoramic Images Luis Payá, Lorenzo Fernández, Óscar Reinoso, Arturo Gil and David Úbeda	250
ROBUST CONTROL FOR AN ARTIFICIAL MUSCLES ROBOT ARM S. Boudoua, M. Chettouh and M. Hamerlain	256

APPLYING A SOFTWARE FRAMEWORK FOR SUPERVISORY CONTROL OF A PLC-BASED DISCRETE EVENT SYSTEM B. Curto, V. Moreno, C. Fernández-Caramés, R. Alves and A. Chehayeb	263
EFFICIENT SIMULATION OF THE FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERFACE Luis Gerardo de la Fraga, Ernesto Olguín-Díaz and Fernando García-Arreguín	268
SELF-LOCALIZATION OF A TEAM OF MOBILE ROBOTS BY MEANS OF COMMON COLORED TARGETS <i>Patricio Nebot and Enric Cervera</i>	274
ANALYTICAL KINEMATICS FRAMEWORK FOR THE CONTROL OF A PARALLEL MANIPULATOR - A Generalized Kinematics Framework for Parallel Manipulators <i>Muhammad Saad Saleem, Ibrahim A. Sultan and Asim A. Khan</i>	280
PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION OF A HYBRID REDUNDANT ROBOT BY USING DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION ALGORITHM Yongbo Wang, Huapeng Wu and Heikki Handroos	287
SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM USING A MOBILE ROBOT EMBEDDED IN A WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK Syed Irtiza Ali and Baerbel Mertsching	293
OBSTACLE AVOIDANCE FOR AUTONOMOUS MOBILE ROBOTS BASED ON POSITION PREDICTION USING FUZZY INFERENCE Takafumi Suzuki and Masaki Takahashi	299
AUTONOMOUS CAMERA CONTROL BY NEURAL MODELS IN ROBOTIC VISION SYSTEMS Tyler W. Garaas, Frank Marino and Marc Pomplun	305
A SIMULATION SETUP FOR COMMUNICATION HARDWARE IN THE LOOP EXPERIMENTS Markus Sauer, Florian Zeiger and Klaus Schilling	312
CO-DESIGN FOR WIRELESS NETWORKED CONTROL OF AN INTELLIGENT MOBILE ROBOT Amine Mechraoui, Zeashan Hameed Khan, Jean-Marc Thiriet and Sylviane Gentil	318
RANDOM VARIATES GENERATING METHODS OF TIME-BETWEEN-FAILURES FOR THE REPAIRABLE SYSTEMS UNDER AGE-REDUCTION PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE <i>Chun-Yuan Cheng, Renkuan Guo and Mei-Ling Liu</i>	325
TOWARDS A COMPUTATIONALLY EFFICIENT RELATIVE POSITIONING SYSTEM FOR INDOOR ENVIRONMENTS - An RFID Approach Md. Suruz Miah, Wail Gueaieb and Wail Gueaieb	331
THE PERFORMANCE OF OPC-UA SECURITY MODEL AT FIELD DEVICE LEVEL Olli Post, Jari Seppälä and Hannu Koivisto	337
A SYSTEM-ARCHITECTURE FOR ROBOTIC MOVEMENTS OF GOODS - Approaches Towards a Cognitive Material Flow System Dennis Ommen, Carsten Beth, Jens Kamenik and Axel Hahn	342
THE FINS PROTOCOL FOR COMPLEX INDUSTRIAL APPLICATTIONS - A Case Study Júlio Costa, Nuno Carvalho, Filomena Soares and José Machado	348

PRODUCT REPRESENTATION TO SUPPORT VALIDATION OF SIMULATION MODELS IN COMPUTER AIDED ENGINEERING Andreas Kain, Andreas Gaag and Udo Lindemann	355
DYNAMICAL CLUSTERING TECHNIQUE TO ESTIMATE THE PROBABILITY OF THE FAILURE OCCURRENCE OF PROCESS SUBJECTED TO SLOW DEGRADATION <i>M. Traore, E. Duviella and S. Lecoeuche</i>	360
Posters	
SELF-SIMILARITY MEASURMENT USING PERCENTAGE OF ANGLE SIMILARITY ON CORRELATIONS OF FACE OBJECTS Darun Kesrarat and Paitoon Porntrakoon	369
COOPERATIVE TELEOPERATION TASK IN VIRTUAL ENVIRONMENT - Influence of Visual Aids and Oral Communication Sehat Ullah, Samir Otmane, Malik Mallem and Paul Richard	374
COLLISION-MODEL BASED MOTION PLANNER FOR MULTI-AGENTS IN A FACTORY S. H. Ji, W. H. Ko, K. T. Nam and S. M. Lee	378
QUANTIFIED ONTOLOGIES FOR REAL LIFE APPLICATIONS Lucia Vacariu, George Fodor, Gheorghe Lazea and Octavian Cret	383
ONLINE CALIBRATION OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL SENSORS FOR ROBOT MANIPULATION TASKS Jan Deiterding and Dominik Henrich	387
HUMANOID REALISTIC SIMULATOR - The Servomotor Joint Modeling José L. Lima, José A. Gonçalves, Paulo G. Costa and A. Paulo Moreira	396
DOUBLE WELL POTENTIAL AS DIFFUSIVE FUNCTION FOR PDE-BASED SCALAR IMAGE RESTORATION METHOD A. Histace and M. Ménard	401
CONTROL AND SUPERVISION FOR AN INDUSTRIAL GRAIN DRYER Clemente Cárdenas, Eduardo J. Moya, David García and Oscar Calvo	405
PROSPECTIVE ELASTO-PLASTIC PRESSURE SENSORS - All-Elasto-Plastic Polyisoprene/Nanostructured Carbon Pressure Sensing Element Maris Knite, Juris Zavickis, Gatis Podins, Raimonds Orlovs and Kaspars Ozols	409
COOPERATIVE UAVS MAPPING COMPLEX ENVIRONMENT USING 2D SPLINEGON Antonios Tsourdos, Brian A. White, Samuel B. Lazarus, Peter Silson and Rafał Żbikowski	413
A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN CONVENTIONAL AND CONTINUOUS GENETIC ALGORITHMS FOR THE SOLUTION OF CARTESIAN PATH GENERATION PROBLEMS OF ROBOT MANIPULATORS Za'er Salim Abo-Hammour, Mohammad Suleiman Saraireh and Othman M-K. Alsmadi	417
A MONOCULAR OCCUPANCY GRID FOR LOCAL WMR NAVIGATION Lluís Pacheco, Xavier Cufí, Ningsu Luo and Javier Cobos	425
SEGMENTS OF COLOR LINES - A Comparison through a Tracking Procedure Michèle Gouiffès, Samia Bouchafa and Bertrand Zavidovique	433
OBSTACLE DETECTION USING STRUCTURED BACKGROUND Ghaida Al Zeer, Adnan Abou Nabout and Bernd Tibken	439

A MHT-BASED ALGORITHM FOR PERFORMANCE ESTIMATION IN DT-MRI BAYESIAN TRACKING METHODS L. M. San José Revuelta	445
MULTI SCALE MOVING CONTROL METHOD FOR AUTONOMOUS OMNI-DIRECTIONAL MOBILE ROBOT Masaki Takahashi and Takafumi Suzuki	449
LARGE-SCALE DEXTEROUS HAPTIC INTERACTION WITH VIRTUAL MOCK-UPS - Methodology and Human Performance Damien Chamaret, Paul Richard and Sehat Ullah	453
INTEGRATED PATH PLANNING AND TRACKING FOR AUTONOMOUS CAR-LIKE VEHICLES MANEUVERING Fernando Gómez-Bravo, Diego A. López, Francisco Real, Luis Merino and José M. Matamoros	457
EXPRESSION OF EMOTIONS THROUGH BODY MOTION - A Novel Interface For Human-Robot Interaction Nelson Gonçalves and João Sequeira	465
VISUAL ATTENTION IN 3D SPACE - Using a Virtual Reality Framework as Spatial Memory <i>M. Zaheer Aziz and Bärbel Mertsching</i>	471
ROBOT AUDITORY SYSTEM BASED ON CIRCULAR MICROPHONE ARRAY FOR HOME SERVICE ROBOTS <i>Keun-Chang Kwak</i>	475
PATH PLANNING WITH MARKOVIAN PROCESSES Istvan Szoke, Gheorghe Lazea, Levente Tamas, Mircea Popa and Andras Majdik	479

AUTHOR INDEX

483

APPEARANCE-BASED DENSE MAPS CREATION *Comparison of Compression Techniques with Panoramic Images*

Luis Payá, Lorenzo Fernández, Óscar Reinoso, Arturo Gil and David Úbeda

Departamento de Ingeniería de Sistemas Industriales, Miguel Hernández University Avda. de la Universidad s/n. 03202, Elche (Alicante), Spain {lpaya, o.reinoso}@umh.es

Keywords: Robot Mapping, Appearance-based Methods, Omnidirectional Vision, Spatial Localization.

Abstract: The visual information captured by omnidirectional systems is very rich and it may be very useful for a robot to create a map of an environment. This map could be composed of several panoramic images taken from different points of view in the environment, and some geometric relationships between them. To carry out any task, the robot must be able to calculate its position and orientation in the environment, comparing his current visual information with the data stored in the map. In this paper we study and compare some approaches to build the map, using appearance-based methods. The most important factor of these approaches is the kind of information to store in order to minimize the computational cost of the operations. We have carried out an exhaustive experimentation to study the amount of memory each technique requires to build the map, and the time consumption to create it and to carry out the localization process inside it. Also, we have tested the accuracy to compute the position and the orientation of a robot in the environment.

1 INTRODUCTION

When a robot or a team of robots have to carry out a task in an environment, an internal representation of it is usually needed so that the robot can estimate its initial position and orientation and navigate to the target points. Omnidirectional visual systems are a widespread sensor used with this aim due to their low cost and the richness of information they provide. Extensive work has been carried out in this field, using the extraction of some natural or artificial landmarks from the images to build the map and carry out the localization of the robot (Thrun, 2003). However, these processes can be carried out just working with the images as a whole, without extracting landmarks nor salient regions.

These appearance-based approaches are useful when working in unstructured environments where it may be hard to create appropriate models for recognition, and offer a systematic and intuitive way to build the map. Nevertheless, as we do not extract any relevant information from the images, an important problem of such approaches is the high computational cost they suppose.

Different researchers have shown how a manifold representation of the environment using some compression techniques can be used. A widely

extended method is PCA (Principal Components Analysis), as (Kröse, 2004) does to create a database using a set of views with a probabilistic approach for the localization inside this database. Conventional PCA methods do not take profit of the amount of information that omnidirectional cameras offer, because they cannot deal with rotations in the plane where the robot moves. (Uenohara, 1998) studied this problem with a set of rotated images, and (Jogan, 2000) applied these concepts to an appearance-based map of an environment. The approach consists in creating an eigenspace that takes into account the possible rotations of each training image, trying to keep a good relationship between amount of memory, time and precision of the map. Other works rely on Fourier Transform to compress the information, as (Menegatti, 2004), that defines the concept of Fourier Signature and presents a method to build the map and localize the robot inside it, or (Rossi, 2008), that uses spherical Fourier transform of the omnidirectional images.

The representation of an environment with appearance-based approaches can be separated in a low-level map, that represents a room with several images and a high-level map, which tries to modelize the spatial relationships between rooms and between rooms and corridors. (Booij, 2007) shows how these concepts can be implemented through a graph representation whose nodes are the images and whose links denote similarity between them and (Vasudevan, 2007) uses a hierarchy of cognitive maps where place cells represent the scenes through a PCA compression and the information provided by a compass is used to compute the connectedness between them. Appearance-based techniques constitute a basis framework to other robotics applications, as in route-following, as (Payá, 2008) shows.

2 REVIEW OF COMPRESSION TECHNIQUES USING OMNIDIRECTIONAL IMAGES

In this section, we outline some techniques to extract the most relevant information from a set of panoramic images, captured from several positions in the environment to map.

2.1 PCA-based Techniques

When we have a set of *N* images with *M* pixels each, $\vec{x}^{j} \in \Re^{M_{\text{CI}}}$; j=1...N, each image can be transformed in a feature vector (also named 'projection' of the image) $\vec{p}^{j} \in \Re^{K_{\text{CI}}}$; j=1...N, being *K* the PCA features containing the most relevant information of the image, $K \leq N$ (Kirby, 2000). The PCA transformation can be computed from SVD of the covariance matrix *C* of the data matrix, *X* that contains all the training images arranged in columns (with the mean subtracted). If *V* is the matrix containing the *K* principal eigenvectors and *P* is the reduced data of size $K \propto N$, the dimensionality reduction is done by $P = V^T \cdot X$, where the columns of *P* are the projections of the training images, \vec{p}^j .

However, the database built in this way contains information only for the orientation the robot had when capturing each image but not for all the possible orientations on each point. (Jogan, 2000) presents a methodology to include this orientation information but acquiring just one image per position. When we work with panoramic images, we can artificially rotate them by just shifting the rows. This way, from every image $\bar{x}^j \in \Re^{MxQ}$ where the first column is the original image, and the rest of them are the shifted versions of the original one, with a $2\pi/Q$ rotation between them. When having a set of N training images, the data matrix is composed of N blocks, and the covariance matrix has the following form:

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{1} | \mathbf{X}^{2} | \dots | \mathbf{X}^{N} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad C = X^{T} X = \begin{bmatrix} X^{11} & X^{12} & \dots & X^{1N} \\ X^{21} & X^{22} & \dots & X^{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X^{N1} & X^{N2} & \dots & X^{NN} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

Where $\mathbf{X}^{ik} \in \Re^{QxQ}$ are circulant blocks. The eigenvectors of a general circulant matrix are the Q basis vectors from the Fourier matrix (Ueonara, 1998):

$$\vec{\omega}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \gamma^i & \gamma^{2i} & \dots & \gamma^{(Q-1)i} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$i = 0, \dots, Q, \quad \gamma = e^{-2\pi j/Q}, \quad j = \sqrt{-1}$$
 (2)

This property allows us to compute the eigenvectors without necessity of performing the SVD decomposition of C (this would be a computationally very expensive process). This problem can be solved by carrying out Q decompositions of order N. The eigenvectors of C shall be found among vectors of the form:

$$\vec{v}_i = \left[\alpha_i^1 \vec{\omega}_i^T, \alpha_i^2 \vec{\omega}_i^T, ..., \alpha_i^N \vec{\omega}_i^T \right]^T, i = 0, ..., N$$
 (3)

where $\vec{\alpha}_i = [\alpha_i^1, \alpha_i^2, ..., \alpha_i^N]^T$, i = 0, ..., N are the eigenvectors of the following matrix:

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{i}^{11} & \lambda_{i}^{12} & \dots & \lambda_{i}^{1N} \\ \lambda_{i}^{21} & \lambda_{i}^{22} & \dots & \lambda_{i}^{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{i}^{N1} & \lambda_{i}^{N2} & \dots & \lambda_{i}^{NN} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

where λ_i^{jk} is an eigenvalue of X^{jk} corresponding to the eigenvector $\vec{\omega}_i$. As the matrix Λ has Neigenvectors, if we repeat this process for every $\vec{\omega}_i$ we can obtain $Q \cdot N$ linearly independent eigenvectors of C.

2.2 Fourier-based Techniques

2.2.1 2D Discrete Fourier Transform

When we have an image f(x,y) with N_y rows and N_x columns, the 2D discrete Fourier Transform is defined through:

$$\Im[f(x, y)] = F(u, v) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_{y}} \sum_{x=0}^{N_{x}-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N_{y}-1} f(x, y) \cdot e^{-2\pi i \left(\frac{ux}{N_{x}} + \frac{vy}{N_{y}}\right)}$$

$$u = 0, \dots, N_{x} - 1, v = 0, \dots, N_{y} - 1$$
(5)

The components of the transformed image are complex numbers so it can be split in two matrices, one with the modules (power spectrum) and other with the angles. The most relevant information in the Fourier domain concentrates in the low frequency components. Furthermore, removing high frequency information can lead to an improvement in localization because these components are more affected by noise. Another interesting property when we work with panoramic images is the rotational invariance, which is reflected in the shift theorem:

$$\Im [f(x - x_0, y - y_0)] = F(u, v) \cdot e^{-2\pi j \left(\frac{ux_0}{N_x} + \frac{vy_0}{N_y}\right)}$$

(6)
$$u = 0, \dots, N_x - 1, v = 0, \dots, N_y - 1$$

According to this property, the power spectrum of the rotated image remains the same of the original image and only a change in the phase of the components of the transformed image is produced, whose value depends on the shift on the x-axis (x_0) and the y-axis (y_0) . It means that when two images are acquired from close points of the environment but with different headings for the robot, then, the power spectrum is very similar, and studying the difference in phases we could estimate the angle between the two orientations, using eq. (6).

2.2.2 Fourier Signature of the Image

If we work with panoramic images, we can use another Fourier-based compact representation that takes profit of the shift theorem applied to panoramic images (Menegatti, 2004). It consists in expanding each row of the panoramic image $\{a_n\} = \{a_0, a_1, ..., a_{N_y-1}\}$ using the Discrete Fourier Transform into the sequence of complex numbers $\{A_n\} = \{A_0, A_1, ..., A_{N_y-1}\}$.

This Fourier Signature presents the same properties as the 2D Fourier Transform. The most relevant information concentrates in the low frequency components of each row, and it presents rotational invariance. However, it exploits better this invariance to ground-plane rotations in panoramic images. These rotations lead to two panoramic images which are the same but shifted along the horizontal axis (fig. 1). Each row of the first image can be represented with the sequence $\{a_n\}$ and each row of the second image will be the sequence $\{a_{n-q}\}$, being *q* the amount of shift, that is proportional to the relative rotation between images. The rotational invariance is deducted from the shift theorem, which can be expressed as:

$$\Im[\{a_{n-q}\}] = A_k e^{-j\frac{2\pi q k}{N_y}}; \qquad k = 0, ..., N_y - 1$$
(7)

where $\Im[\{a_{n-q}\}]$ is the Fourier Transform of the shifted sequence, and A_k are the components of the Fourier Transform of the non-shifted sequence. According to this expression, the amplitude of the Fourier Transform of the shifted image is the same as the original transform and there is only a phase change, proportional to the amount of shift q.



Figure 1: A robot rotation on the ground plane produces a shift in the panoramic image captured.

3 MAP BUILDING

To carry out the experiments, we have captured a set of omnidirectional images on a pre-defined 40x40cm grid in an indoor environment, including an unstructured room (a laboratory) and a structured one (a corridor). We work with panoramic images with size 56x256 pixels. Once we have all the panoramic images, we have used the compression methods exposed in the previous section. Fig. 2(a) shows a bird's eye view of the grid used to take the images and two examples of panoramic images.

Before using the compression methods, a normalisation and a filtering process have been carried out to make the map robust to changes in illumination. There have been significant differences when using each one of the compression methods, regarding the elapsed time and the amount of memory the map takes up once it is built.



Figure 2: (a) Grid used to capture the training set of images, (b) amount of memory taken up and (c) time elapsed to build the map with the Fourier-based approaches, (d) amount of memory and (e) time elapsed with the PCA-based approach.

Fig. 2(b) shows the amount of memory the map constructed takes up, depending on the number of images in the map, and the number of Fourier Components we retain (those of lower frequency). When we work with the Fourier Signature, taking 224 components implies we retain 4 Fourier Components per row (56 rows in the image). In the case of 2D Fourier transform, 224 components means we take the firs 7 rows and 32 columns (where the main information is concentrated).

Fig. 2(c) shows the elapsed time to build the database, depending on the number of images in the map and the number of Fourier components we retain. The time elapsed is very similar when we use the Fourier Signature and the 2D Fourier Transform. On the other hand, fig. 2(d) and 2(e) show the results when using the PCA compression technique for spinning images. Fig 2(d) shows the amount of memory required. The PCA map is composed of the matrix $V \in C^{KxM}$, which contains the K main eigenvectors and the projections of the training images $\vec{p}_j \in C^{Kxl}$; j = 1...N.

Although the training images have been artificially rotated to add the orientation information in the database, it is not necessary to store the projections of all the rotated images but only the projections of one image per training position. This is due to the fact that a rotation in the image results in the change of angle of the PCA coefficients of this image, but not in the module. So, if we have the coefficients for one representative viewpoint, the coefficients of the rotated images can automatically be generated through a rotation in the complex plane. So the module of the projections can be used to compute the position of the robot, and the phase is useful to know the orientation. Anyway, as we can see on fig. 2, PCA is a computationally more expensive process comparing to Fourier Transform.

4 LOCALIZATION AND ORIENTATION RECOVERING

To test the validity of the previous maps, the robot has captured several test images in some half-way points among those stored in the map. We have captured two sets of test images, the first one, at the same time we took the training set and the second one a few days later, in different times of the day (with varying illumination conditions) and with changes in the position of some objects. The objective is to compute the position and orientation of the robot when it took the test images, just using the visual information in the maps.

4.1 PCA-based Techniques

The PCA map is made up of the matrix $V \in C^{K_{xM}}$, which contains the *K* main eigenvectors and the projections of the training images $\vec{p}^{j} \in C^{K_{x1}}$ (one per position, as explained in the previous section), that have been decomposed in two vectors, $\vec{p}_m^j \in R^{K_{x1}}$ containing the modules of the components of the projections and $\vec{p}_{ph}^{j} \in R^{K_{x1}}$ containing the phases.

To compute the location where the robot took each test image, we have to project the test image $\vec{x}^i \in R^{Mx_1}$ onto the eigenspace, $\vec{p}^i = V^T \cdot \vec{x}^i \in C^{Kx_1}$. Then, we compute the vector of modules $\vec{p}_m^i \in R^{Kx_1}$ and compare it with all the vectors \vec{p}_m^j stored in the map. The criterion used is the Euclidean distance. The corresponding position of the robot is extracted as the best matching. Once this position is known, we make use of the phases vector \vec{p}_{ph}^i to compute the orientation of the robot.

Table 1 shows the results we have obtained when computing the position and the orientation when the training set is taken over a 30x30 cm grid and table 2 shows the same results for an 40x40 cm grid, depending on the number of eigenvectors (*K*) we retain. In these tables, p_1 is the probability that the best match is the actually nearest image (geometrically), p_2 is the probability that the best match is one of the two actually nearest images, and p_3 is the probability it is one of the three nearest images. At last, e_{θ} is the average error in the orientation estimation.

Table 1: Accuracy in the estimation of the position and orientation with PCA methods. 30x30 cm grid training set.

K	p_1	p ₂	p 3	eθ
112	81.8	96.7	97.1	3.21°
224	82.6	96.8	98.8	2.89°
448	87.2	96.8	98.8	5.29°

Table 2: Accuracy in the estimation of the position and orientation with PCA methods. 40x40 cm grid training set.

K	p ₁	p_2	p 3	eθ
112	96.3	97.1	98.4	8.07°
224	96.7	97.5	99.3	5.95°
448	97.9	98.8	99.5	4.79°

Fig. 3(a) shows the time taken up by this method to compute the position and orientation, depending of the number of images stored in the map, and the number of eigenvectors.

4.2 Fourier-based Techniques

To compute the position and orientation of the robot for each test image, we compute the Fourier Transform (with the two methods described in the previous section) and then, we compute the Euclidean distance of the power spectrum of the test image with the spectra stored in the map. The best match is taken as the current position of the robot.

On the other hand, the orientation is computed with eq. (6), when we work with 2D Discrete Fourier Transform (assuming $y_0 = 0$) and with the expression (7), when we work with the Fourier Signature. We obtain a different angle per row so we have to compute the average angle. Tables 3 and 4 show the accuracy we obtain in position and orientation estimation with the Fourier methods.

Table 3: Accuracy in the estimation of the position and orientation. Fourier methods. 30x30 cm grid training set.

K	p_1	p_2	p ₃	eθ
2x56	76.5	94.6	96.3	3.13°
4x56	78.5	95.5	97.5	3.04°
8x56	83.9	97.4	98.4	2.91°
16x56	85.5	98.4	98.4	2.89°

Table 4: Accuracy in the estimation of the position and orientation. Fourier methods. 40x40 cm grid training set.

K	p_1	p ₂	p 3	eθ
2x56	94.2	96.7	97.2	4.36
4x56	94.6	97.5	98.3	4.35
8x56	96.7	98.8	100	4.40
16x56	97.5	99.6	100	4.37

Fig. 3(b) shows the time elapsed since the robot captures the omnidirectional image until the position and orientation of the robot are obtained, depending on the number of images stored in the map and the number of Fourier components we retain. This approach clearly outperforms the PCA methods in accuracy and time consumption.



Figure 3: Time consumption to compute position and orientation with (a) PCA and (b) Fourier methods.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have exposed the principles of the creation of a dense map of a real environment, using

omnidirectional images and appearance-based methods. We have presented three different methods to compress the information in the map. The mathematical properties of these methods together with the rich information the omnidirectional images pick up from the environment permit the robot to compute its position and orientation into the map.

The Fourier Transform method (both the 2D Discrete Fourier Transform and the Fourier Signature) has proved to be a good method to compress the information comparing to PCA regarding both the time and the amount of memory, and the accuracy in position and orientation estimation. Another important property is that the Fourier Transform is an inherently incremental method. When we work with PCA, we need to have all the training images available before carrying out the compression so this method cannot be applied to tasks that require an incremental process (e.g. a SLAM algorithm where the information of the new location must be added to the map while the robot is moving around the environment). The Fourier Transform does not present this disadvantage because the compression of each image is carried out independently. These properties make it applicable to future tasks where the robots have to add new information to the map and localize themselves in real time.

This work opens the door to new applications of the appearance-based methods in mobile robotics. As we have shown, the main problem these methods present is the high requirements of memory and computation time to build the database and make the necessary comparisons to compute the position and orientation of the robot. Once we have studied in deep some methods to compress the information and separate the calculation of position and orientation, the next step should be to test their robustness to changes in illumination and in the position of some objects in the scene. Also, their robustness and simplicity make them applicable to the creation of more sophisticated maps, where we have no information of the position the robot had when he took the training images.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported by the Spanish government through the project DPI2007-61197. 'Sistemas de percepción visual móvil y cooperativo como soporte para la realización de tareas con redes de robots'.

REFERENCES

- Artac, M.; Jogan, M. & Leonardis, A., 2002. Mobile Robot Localization Using an Incremental Eigenspace Model, In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Washington, USA, pp. 1205-1030, IEEE.
- Booij, O., Terwijn, B., Zivkovic, Z., Kröse, B., 2007. Navigation using an Appearance Based Topological Map. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics* and Automation, pp. 3297-3932 IEEE Press, New York.
- Jogan, M., Leonardis, A., 2000. Robust Localization Using Eigenspace of Spinning-Images. In Proc. IEEE Workshop on Omnidirectional Vision, Hilton Head Island, USA, pp. 37-44, IEEE.
- Kirby, M., 2000. Geometric data analysis. An empirical approach to dimensionality reduction and the study of patterns, Wiley Interscience.
- Kröse, B., Bunschoten, R., Hagen, S., Terwijn, B. Vlassis, N., 2004. Household robots: Look and learn. In *IEEE Robotics & Automation magazine*. Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 45-52.
- Menegatti, E.; Maeda, T. Ishiguro, H., 2004. Image-based memory for robot navigation using properties of omnidirectional images. In *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*. Vol. 47, No. 4, pp. 251-276.
- Payá, L., Reinoso, O., Gil, A., Sogorb. J., 2008. Multirobot route following using omnidirectional vision and appearance-based representation of the environment. In Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence. Hybrid Artificial Intelligence Systems, Vol. 5271, pp. 680-687 Springer.
- Rossi, F., Ranganathan, A., Dellaert, F., Menegatti, E., 2008. Toward topological localization with spherical Fourier transform and uncalibrated camera. In *Proc. Int. Conf. on Simulation, Modeling and Programming for Autonomous Robots*. Venice (Italy), pp. 319-330.
- Thrun, S., 2003. Robotic Mapping: A Survey, In Exploring Artificial Intelligence in the New Milenium, pp. 1-35, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, USA.
- Ueonara, M., Kanade, T, 1998. Optimal approximation of uniformly rotated images: relationship between Karhunen-Loeve expansion and Discrete Cosine Transform. In *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*. Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 116-119.
- Vasudevan, S., Gächter, S., Nguyen, V., Siegwart, R., 2007. Cognitive maps for mobile robots – an object based approach. In *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*. Vol. 55, No. 1, pp. 359-371.