# Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing

Volume 693

#### Series editor

Janusz Kacprzyk, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland e-mail: kacprzyk@ibspan.waw.pl

#### About this Series

The series "Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing" contains publications on theory, applications, and design methods of Intelligent Systems and Intelligent Computing. Virtually all disciplines such as engineering, natural sciences, computer and information science, ICT, economics, business, e-commerce, environment, healthcare, life science are covered. The list of topics spans all the areas of modern intelligent systems and computing.

The publications within "Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing" are primarily textbooks and proceedings of important conferences, symposia and congresses. They cover significant recent developments in the field, both of a foundational and applicable character. An important characteristic feature of the series is the short publication time and world-wide distribution. This permits a rapid and broad dissemination of research results.

#### Advisory Board

Chairman

Nikhil R. Pal, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata, India e-mail: nikhil@isical.ac.in

#### Members

Rafael Bello Perez, Universidad Central "Marta Abreu" de Las Villas, Santa Clara, Cuba e-mail: rbellop@uclv.edu.cu

Emilio S. Corchado, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain e-mail: escorchado@usal.es

Hani Hagras, University of Essex, Colchester, UK e-mail: hani@essex.ac.uk

László T. Kóczy, Széchenyi István University, Győr, Hungary e-mail: koczy@sze.hu

Vladik Kreinovich, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, USA e-mail: vladik@utep.edu

Chin-Teng Lin, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan e-mail: ctlin@mail.nctu.edu.tw

Jie Lu, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

e-mail: Jie.Lu@uts.edu.au

Patricia Melin, Tijuana Institute of Technology, Tijuana, Mexico e-mail: epmelin@hafsamx.org

Nadia Nedjah, State University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil e-mail: nadia@eng.uerj.br

Ngoc Thanh Nguyen, Wroclaw University of Technology, Wroclaw, Poland e-mail: Ngoc-Thanh.Nguyen@pwr.edu.pl

Jun Wang, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong e-mail: jwang@mae.cuhk.edu.hk

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/11156

Anibal Ollero · Alberto Sanfeliu Luis Montano · Nuno Lau Carlos Cardeira Editors

# ROBOT 2017: Third Iberian Robotics Conference

Volume 1



*Editors* Anibal Ollero Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería Universidad de Sevilla Sevilla Spain

Alberto Sanfeliu Institut de Robòtica I Informàtica Industrial (CSIC-UPC) Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Barcelona Spain

Luis Montano Departamento de Informática e Ingeniería de Sistemas, Escuela de Ingeniería y Arquitectura Instituto de Investigación en Ingeniería de Aragón Zaragoza Spain Nuno Lau Institute of Electronics and Telematics Engineering of Aveiro (IEETA) Universidade de Aveiro Aveiro Portugal

Carlos Cardeira IDMEC, Instituto Superior Técnico de Lisboa Universidade de Lisboa Lisbon Portugal

 ISSN 2194-5357
 ISSN 2194-5365 (electronic)

 Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing
 ISBN 978-3-319-70832-4
 ISBN 978-3-319-70833-1 (eBook)

 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-70833-1
 ISBN 978-3-319-70833-1
 ISBN 978-3-319-70833-1 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017957985

#### © Springer International Publishing AG 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

### Preface

This book contains a selection of papers accepted for presentation and discussion at "Robot 2017: Third Iberian Robotics Conference," held in Seville, Spain, November 22–24, 2017. Robot 2017 is part of a series of conferences that are a joint organization of Sociedad Española para la Investigación y Desarrollo de la Robótica/Spanish Society for Research and Development in Robotics (SEIDROB) and Sociedade Portuguesa de Robótica/Portuguese Society for Robotics (SPR). The conference organization had also the collaboration of several universities and research institutes, including University of Seville, Polytechnic University of Catalonia, University of Zaragoza, University of Aveiro, and University of Lisbon.

Robot 2017 builds upon several successful events, including three biennial workshops (Zaragoza–2007, Barcelona–2009, and Sevilla–2011) and two Iberian Robotics Conferences (Madrid–2013 and Lisbon–2015).

The conference is focused on the robotics scientific and technological activities in the Iberian Peninsula, although open to research and delegates from other countries.

Robot 2017 featured three plenary talks by:

- Oussama Khatib, Director of Stanford Robotics Lab, Stanford University, USA, President of the International Foundation of Robotics Research (IFRR)
- Dario Floreano, Director of the Laboratory of Intelligent Systems, EPFL, Switzerland, Director of the Swiss National Center of Competence in Robotics, Switzerland
- Alin Albu-Schäffer, Head of the Institute of Robotics and Mechatronics at the German Aerospace Center (DLR), Germany.

Robot 2017 featured 27 special sessions, four of them with two slots in the Conference Program, plus 5 sessions coming from the General Track. The conference had an industrial track with four sessions. The main purpose of this track is to present industrial needs and recent achievements in robotic industrial applications looking to promote new collaborations between industry and academia. Six papers of the industrial track have been included in this book.

The Special Sessions were about Aerial Robotics for Inspection, Agricultural Robotics and Field Automation, Autonomous Driving and Driver Assistance Systems, Challenges in Medical Robotics in the Frame of Industry 4.0, Cognitive Architectures, Communication-Aware Robotics, Cooperative and Active Perception for Robotics, Educational Robotics, Legged Locomotion Robots, Machine Learning in Robotics, Marine Robotics, Ontologies and Knowledge Representation for Robotics, Rehabilitation and Assistive Robotics, Robotics and Cyber-Physical Systems for Industry 4.0, Robotic and Unmanned Vehicles for Security, Robot Competitions, Robots Cooperating with Sensor Networks, Robots for Health care, Sensor Technologies oriented to Computer Vision Applications, Simulation in Robotics, Vision and Learning for Robotics, and Visual Perception for Robotics.

Additionally, the four industrial Special Sessions were about Application of Robotics to Manufacturing Processes in the Aeronautic Industry, Application of Robotics to Shipbuilding, Integration of Drones in Low Altitude Aerial Space, and Robotics Solutions for Flexible Manufacturing.

Finally, the sessions in the General Track were about the following topics: Aerial Robotics (double slot), Manipulation, Mobile Robotics, and Mobile Robotics Applications.

The Robot 2017 Call for papers received 201 papers. After a careful review process with at least three independent reviews for each paper, 141 of them have been selected to be included in this book. There are over 500 authors from 21 countries including Australia, Brazil, Colombia, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Ecuador, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Macao, Mexico, Poland, Portugal, Spain, United Arab Emirates, UK, and USA.

We would like to thank all Special Sessions' organizers for their hard work on promoting their special session, inviting the Program Committee, organizing the Special Session review process, and helping to promote the ROBOT 2017 Conference. This acknowledgment goes especially to the members of the Program Committee, Organizers of the Special Sessions, and Reviewers for the hard work required to prepare this volume as they were crucial for ensuring the high scientific quality of the event and to all the authors and delegates whose research work and participation made this event a success. The work of the Local Organizing Committee was also crucial to produce the Robot 2017 Program and this book.

Last but not the least, we acknowledge and thank our editor, Springer, that was in charge of these proceedings, and in particular to Dr. Thomas Ditzinger.

November 2017

Anibal Ollero Alberto Sanfeliu Luis Montano Nuno Lau Carlos Cardeira

# Organization

#### **General/Program Chairs**

Anibal Ollero	University of Sevilla, Spain
Alberto Sanfeliu	Polytechnical University of Catalonia, Spain
Luis Montano	University of Zaragoza, Spain
Nuno Lau	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Carlos Cardeira	University of Lisbon-IST, Portugal

### **Organizing Committee**

The following members of the Organizing Committee of Robot 2017 have contributed to the preparation of this book and its dissemination:

Alberto Sanfeliu	Polytechnical University of Catalonia, Spain
Ángel Rodríguez	University of Sevilla, Spain
Anibal Ollero	University of Sevilla, Spain
Arturo Torres	University of Sevilla, Spain
Carlos Cardeira	University of Lisbon-IST, Portugal
Carlos Rodríguez	University of Sevilla, Spain
Francisco Real	University of Sevilla, Spain
Iván Maza	University of Sevilla, Spain
Jesús Capitán	University of Sevilla, Spain
José Ángel Acosta	University of Sevilla, Spain
José Antonio Cobano	University of Sevilla, Spain
Luis Montano	University of Zaragoza, Spain
Nuno Lau	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Pablo Ramón	University of Sevilla, Spain
Pedro Sánchez	University of Sevilla, Spain

## **Robot 2017 Program Committee**

Agapito Ledezma Espino	University Carlos III Madrid
Alexandre Bernardino	University of Lisbon, Portugal
Angel Pasqual del Pobil	University Jaume I
Alfonso Garcia Cerezo	University of Malaga, Spain
Alícia Casals	Polytechnical University of Catalonia, Spain
Anders Christensen	University Institute of Lisbon, Portugal
Andry Pinto	University of Porto, Portugal
Ángel García Olaya	University Carlos III Madrid, Spain
Aníbal Matos	University of Porto, Portugal
Antidio Viguria	FADA-CATEC, Spain
Antonio Barrientos	CAR CSIC-UPM, Spain
Antonio Jesús Bandera	University of Málaga, Spain
António Paulo Moreira	University of Porto, Portugal
António Pedro Aguiar	University of Porto, Portugal
Armando Jorge Sousa	University of Porto, Portugal
Artur Pereira	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Arturo Morgado	University of Cadiz, Spain
Bernardo Cunha	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Begoña C. Arrue	University of Sevilla, Spain
Brígida Mónica Faria	Polytechnic Institute of Porto, Portugal
Carlos Cerrada	UNED, Spain
Carlos Vazquez	University A Coruña, Spain
Regueiro	
Carme Torras	Institut de Robotica i Informatica Industrial
	(CSIC-UPC), Spain
Cristina Santos	University of Minho, Portugal
Danilo Tardioli	University of Zaragoza, Spain
Estela Bicho	University of Minho, Portugal
Francisco J. Rodríguez	University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg
Fernando Fernández	University Carlos III de Madrid, Spain
Fernando Lasagni	FADA-CATEC, Spain
Fernando Ribeiro	University of Minho, Portugal
Filipe Santos	University of Porto, Portugal
Filomena Soares	University of Minho, Portugal
Guillermo Heredia	University of Sevilla, Spain
Ismael García Varea	University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain
Javier Civera	University of Zaragoza, Spain
Jesús Capitán	University of Sevilla, Spain
João Calado	Polytechnic Institute of Lisbon, Portugal
João Sequeira	University of Lisbon, Portugal
Jon Agirre Ibarbia	TECNALIA, Spain

Jorge Dias Jorge Lobo Jorge Martins José Barata José A. Castellanos José L. Villarroel José Luis Azevedo José Luis Lima José Luis Pons José María Cañas Josep Amat Juan Andrade Juana Mayo Lino Marques Lluís Ribas Xirgo Luis Almeida Luis Correia Luis Merino Luis Moreno Luis Paulo Reis Manuel Armada Manuel Ferre Manuel Silva Micael Couceiro Miguel Oliveira Miguel A. Cazorla Nicolás García-Aracil Nuno Cruz Oscar Reinoso Pablo Bustos Pascual Campov Paulo Costa Paulo Gonçalves Paulo Jorge Oliveira Pedro Costa Pedro Fonseca Pedro Lima Ramiro Martinez de Dios Raul Cano Raul Morais Raul Suarez Rodrigo Ventura Rui Araújo

University of Coimbra, Portugal University of Coimbra, Portugal University of Lisbon, Portugal University of Lisbon, Portugal University of Zaragoza, Spain University of Zaragoza, Spain University of Aveiro, Portugal Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal Instituto Cajal-CSIC, Spain University Rey Juan Carlos, Spain Polytechnical University of Catalonia, Spain Institut de Robotica i Informatica Industrial (CSIC-UPC), Spain University of Sevilla, Spain University of Coimbra, Portugal Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain University of Porto, Portugal University of Lisbon, Portugal University Pablo Olavide, Spain University Carlos III de Madrid, Spain University of Minho, Portugal CAR CSIC-UPM, Spain CAR CSIC-UPM, Spain Polytechnic Institute of Porto, Portugal University of Coimbra, Portugal University of Porto, Portugal University of Alicante, Spain University of Miguel Hernández, Spain University of Porto, Portugal University of Miguel Hernández, Spain University of Extremadura, Spain Polytechnical University of Madrid, Spain University of Porto, Portugal Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco, Portugal University of Lisbon, Portugal University of Porto, Portugal University of Aveiro, Portugal University of Lisbon, Portugal University of Sevilla, Spain

#### FADA-CATEC, Spain UTAD, Portugal Polytechnical University of Catalonia, Spain University of Lisbon, Portugal University of Coimbra, Portugal

Organization

Rui Rocha	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Thierry Keller	TECNALIA, Spain
Vicente Feliú	University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain
Vicente Matellán	University of León, Spain
Vitor Santos	University of Aveiro, Portugal

#### Special Sessions' Organizers

#### **Aerial Robotics for Inspection**

Guillermo Heredia University of Seville, Spain

#### Agricultural Robotics and Field Automation

Raul Morais Santos	UTAD, Portugal
Filipe Neves dos Santos	INESC-TEC, Portugal

# Application of Robotics to Manufacturing Processes in the Aeronautic Industry

Raúl Cano FADA-CATEC, Spain

#### Application of Robotics to Shipbuilding

Arturo Morgado Universidad de Cádiz, Spain

#### Autonomous Driving and Driver Assistance Systems

Vitor Santos	Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal
Angel Sappa	CVC Barcelona and ESPOL Guayaquil, Spain
Miguel Oliveira	INESC-TEC, Portugal
Arturo de la Escalera	Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Spain

#### Challenges in Medical Robotics in the Frame of Industry 4.0

Josep Amat	UPC,	Spain
Alicia Casals	UPC,	Spain

#### **Cognitive Architectures**

Vicente Matellán	Universidad de León, Spain
------------------	----------------------------

#### **Communication Aware Robotics**

Danilo Tardioli	CUD Zaragoza, Spain
Alejandro R. Mosteo	CUD Zaragoza, Spain
Luis Riazuelo	Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain

#### **Cooperative and Active Perception in Robotics**

Eduardo Silva	ISEP/IPP, Portugal
Jesús Capitán	Universidad de Sevilla, Spain
Luis Merino	Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Spain

#### **Educational Robotics**

Armando	Sousa	FEUP, Portugal		
Fernando	Ribeiro	Universidade do	Minho,	Portugal

#### Integration of Drones in Low Altitude Aerial Space

Antidio Viguria	FADA-CATEC,	Spain
-----------------	-------------	-------

#### Legged Locomotion Robots

Manuel Silva	ISEP/IPP and INESC-TEC, Portugal
Cristina Santos	University of Minho and Centro ALGORITMI,
	Portugal
Manuel Armada	CSIC-UPM, Spain

#### Machine Learning in Robotics

Guillem Aleny	Institut de Robotica Informatica Industrial
	(CSIC-UPC), Spain
Brígida Mónica Faria	ESS-PP, Portugal
Luis Merino	UPO, Spain
Carme Torras	Institut de Robotica i Informatica Industrial
	(CSIC-UPC), Spain

#### **Marine Robotics**

A. Pedro Aguiar	FEUP, Portugal
António Pascoal	IST, Portugal
João B. Sousa	FEUP, Portugal
Fernando Lobo Pereira	FEUP, Portugal

#### **Ontologies and Knowledge Representation for Robotics**

ý U
A, Spain
onomous Systems Laboratory (ASLab), UPM,

#### **Rehabilitation and Assistive Robotics**

Luis Paulo Reis	University of Minho, Portugal
Brígida Mónica Faria	P.Porto, Portugal

#### Robotic and Unmanned Vehicles for Security

A. García-Cerezo Universidad de Málaga, Spain

#### **Robotics and Cyber-Physical Systems for Industry 4.0**

José Lima	INESC-TEC, Portugal
Germano Veiga	INESC-TEC, Portugal
Paulo Leitão	IPB, Portugal

#### **Robotics Solutions for Flexible Manufacturing**

Jon Agirre Ibarbia Te	ecnalia	Research	&	Innovation,	Spain
-----------------------	---------	----------	---	-------------	-------

#### **Robot Competitions**

Bernardo Cunha	University of Aveiro,	Portugal
José Luis Azevedo	University of Aveiro,	Portugal

#### **Robots Cooperating with Sensor Networks**

J. Ramiro Martinez	University	of Sevilla,	Spain
de Dios			

#### **Robots for Health Care**

Raquel Fuentetaja	Universidad Carlos	III	de	Madrid,	Spain
Pizán					
Angel García Olaya	Universidad Carlos	III	de	Madrid,	Spain

#### Sensor Technologies Oriented to Computer Vision Applications

Carlos Certada UNED, Spain
----------------------------

#### **Simulation in Robotics**

Luis Paulo Reis	University of Minho,	Portugal
Artur Pereira	University of Aveiro,	Portugal

#### Vision and Learning for Robotics

Miguel Cazorla	Universidad de Alicante, Spain
Oscar Reinoso	Universidad Miguel Hernández, Spain

#### **Visual Perception for Robotics**

B.C. Arrue	University of	Sevilla, Spain	n
------------	---------------	----------------	---

#### **Sessions Program Committee**

Aamir Ahmad	Alejandro R. Mosteo
Abdelghani Chibani	Alejandro Suarez
Abdulla Al-Kaff	Alexandre Bernardino
Ahmed Hussein	Alfonso García-Cerezo
Alberto Olivares-Alarcos	Alicia Casals
Alberto Sanfeliu	Alicja Wasik
Alejandro Linares-Barranco	Ana Lopes

Anais Garrell Anders Christensen Andre Dias Andrea Alessandretti Andry Pinto Angel Garcia Olaya Ángel Manuel Guerrero-Higueras Angela Ribeiro Angelos Amanatiadis Anthony Mandow Antidio Viguria Antonio Adan Antonio Agudo Antonio Bandera Antonio Barrientos Antonio Morales António Neves Antonio Paulo Coimbra António Paulo Moreira Antonio Valente Armando Sousa Artur Pereira Arturo Bertomeu-Motos Arturo Morgado Arturo Torres-González Augusto Tavares Begoña C. Arrue Belén Curto Benoit Landry Brígida Mónica Faria Bruno J.N. Guerreiro Camino Fernández Cándido Otero Carlos Cardeira Carlos Hernández Corbato Carlos Miguel Costa Carlos Rizzo Carlos Vázquez Regueiro Carme Torras Claudio Rossi Clauirton Siebra Craig Schlenoff Daniel Gutierrez Galan Daniel Silva Danilo Tardioli

David Alejo David Valiente **Dimitrios** Paraforos Domenec Puig Denes Nagy Duarte Valério Edson Prestes Eduardo Ferrera Eduardo Mendes Eduardo Molinos Vicente Enrique Valero Estela Bicho Eugenio Aguirre Molina Eugenio Marinetto Eurico Pedrosa Felipe Jimenez Fernando Caballero Fernando Fernández Fernando Garcia Fernando Gomez-Bravo Fernando Ribeiro Filipe Neves Dos Santos Filomena Soares Francisco Alarcón Francisco Bonnín Francisco Gomez-Donoso Francisco J. Rodríguez Lera Francisco Javier Badesa Francisco Madrigal Francisco Martín Rico Francisco Ramos Francisco Rodríguez-Sedano Frederic Lerasle Gary Philippe Cornelius Gil Lopes Guillermo Heredia Iñaki Diaz Ismael Garcia-Varea Ivan Armuelles Ivan Maza J.M. Aguilar Jaime Duque Domingo Javier Perez Turiel Jesús Balsa Jesus Capitan

Jesus Fernandez-Lozano Joao Calado Joao Fabro João Macedo João Sequeira Joel Carbonera Jon Agirre Ibarbia Jorge De León Rivas Jorge Díez Jorge Lobo Jorge Martins Jose A. Castellanos José Antonio Cobano José Barbosa José Carlos Rangel Jose Eugenio Naranjo Jose García Rodríguez Jose Javier Anaya Jose Joaquin Acevedo Iose Lima José Luis Villarroel José M. Cogollor José Manuel Cañas José María Armingol José María Cañas Plaza José María Catalán Orts Josenalde Oliveira Josep Amat Joshue Pérez Josu Larrañaga Leturia Juan Andrade Cetto Juan Barios Juan Braga Juan Jesús Roldán Gómez Juan Pedro Bandera Rubio Julián Estévez Julita Bermejo-Alonso Justyna Gerłowska Leopoldo Rodriguez Lluis Ribas Xirgo Luis Almeida Luis Correia Luis Costa Luis Evaristo Caraballo de La Cruz Luis Garrote

Luis Gomes Luis Merino Luis Montano Luis Moreno Luis Oliveira Luis Paulo Reis Luis Riazuelo Luis Rocha Manuel Armada Manuel Ferre Manuel Garcia Manuel Jesus Dominguez Morales Manuel Pérez Manuel Silva Marcelo Borges Nogueira Marcelo Petry Marco Ceccarelli Margarita Bachiller Martin Rico Francisco Micael Couceiro Miguel Ángel Conde Miguel Angelo Sotelo Miguel Cazorla Miguel Trujillo Mohammad Rouhani Natalia Avuso Nicolas García Aracil Nicolas Jouandeau Nieves Pulido Noé Pérez-Higueras Nuno Cruz Nuno Lau Oscar Lima Oscar Reinoso Oscar Sipele P. Javier Herrera Pablo Bustos Pablo Jiménez Pablo Ramon Soria Paulo Costa Paulo Dias Paulo Gonçalves Paulo Menezes Paulo Oliveira Paulo Peixoto

Pedro Costa Pedro Fonseca Pedro Lima Pedro Neto Pedro Pedro Costa R. Praveen Kumar Jain Rafael Barea Ramiro Martinez de Dios Raquel Fuentetaja Raul Cano **Raul Morais Santos** Raul Suarez Ricardo Pascoal Ricardo Sanz Rita Cunha Robert Fitch

Roberto Arroyo Rui Araujo Rui Gomes Rui Rocha Sedat Dogru Stephen Balakirsky Tiago Nascimento Valter Costa Veera Ragavan Vicente Feliú Vicente Feliú Vicente Matellán Víctor Vaquero Vítor Carvalho Vitor Santos Yaroslav Marchukov

# Contents

#### **Mobile Robotics**

Landmark Detection for Docking Tasks Francisco Ferreira, Héber Sobreira, Germano Veiga, and António Moreira	3
Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filter SLAM with Prior Map:         An Experimental Evaluation         Goran Popović, Juraj Oršulić, Damjan Miklić, and Stjepan Bogdan	14
<b>Object Tracking in a Moving Reference Frame</b>	26
Vision-Based Control for an AUV in a Multi-robot Undersea Intervention Task Emilio Garcia-Fidalgo, Alberto Ortiz, and Miquel Massot-Campos	36
The K-Framed Quadtrees Approach for Path Planning         Through a Known Environment         Ana Rodrigues, Pedro Costa, and José Lima	49
Collision Avoidance for Multi-robot Systems with Coincident Paths Based on Fictitious Collision Points Using Nonlinear Formulation	60
Mobile Robotics Applications	
Integrating an Autonomous Robot on a Dance and New Technologies Festival Paula Abad, Miguel Franco, Rosa Castillón, Iñigo Alonso, Ana Cambra, Jorge Sierra, Luis Riazuelo, Luis Montano,	75

and Ana C. Murillo

A Perspective of Security for Mobile Service Robots Gary Cornelius, Patrice Caire, Nico Hochgeschwender, Miguel A. Olivares-Mendez, Paulo Esteves-Verissimo, Marcus Völp, and Holger Voos	88
Project and Trajectory Control of an Autonomous Aerator for Aquaculture Rilene Carolina Goelzer, Letieri Avila, and Vinicius Menezes de Oliveira	101
On-Line Adaptive Side-by-Side Human Robot Companion to Approach a Moving Person to Interact	113
A Robotized Dumper for Debris Removal in Tunnels Under Construction Danilo Tardioli, Luis Riazuelo, Teresa Seco, Jesús Espelosín, Jorge Lalana, José Luis Villarroel, and Luis Montano	126
Roboethics and Robotic Governance – A Literature Review and Research Agenda Dominik B.O. Bösl and Martina Bode	140
Marine Robotics	
Coordinated Multi-UAV Exploration Strategy for Large Areas with Communication Constrains Juan Braga, A. Pedro Aguiar, and João Borges de Sousa	149
A Nonlinear Model Predictive Control for an AUV to Track and Estimate a Moving Target Using Range Measurements R. Praveen Jain, Andrea Alessandretti, A. Pedro Aguiar, and João Borges de Sousa	161
Architecture of a Flight Endurance Enhancement System for Maritime Operations with Fixed Wing UAS Leopoldo Rodríguez, Jose A. Cobano, and Anibal Ollero	171
Immersive Touring for Marine Archaeology. Application of a New Compact Omnidirectional Camera to Mapping the Gnalić shipwreck with an AUV Josep Bosch, Nuno Gracias, Pere Ridao, David Ribas, Klemen Istenič,	183
Rafael Garcia, and Irena Radic Rossi	
Towards Inspection of Marine Energy Devices Using ROVs: Floating Wind Turbine Motion Replication Satja Sivčev, Edin Omerdić, Gerard Dooly, Joseph Coleman,	196

and Daniel Toal

Agricultural Robotics and Field Automation	
<b>Robot Localization System in a Hard Outdoor Environment</b> Teresa Conceição, Filipe Neves dos Santos, Paulo Costa, and António Paulo Moreira	215
Improving Dead-Reckoning Performance of Skid-SteeredWheeled Robots Using an Improved Kinematic ModelSedat Dogru and Lino Marques	228
A*-Based Solution to the Coverage Path Planning Problem Sedat Dogru and Lino Marques	240
<b>GRAPE: Ground Robot for vineyArd Monitoring and ProtEction</b> Ferran Roure, Germán Moreno, Marcel Soler, Davide Faconti, Daniel Serrano, Pietro Astolfi, Gianluca Bardaro, Alessandro Gabrielli, Luca Bascetta, and Matteo Matteucci	249
Path Planning for Automatic Recharging System for Steep-SlopeVineyard RobotsLuís Santos, Filipe Neves dos Santos, Jorge Mendes, Nuno Ferraz,José Lima, Raul Morais, and Pedro Costa	261
Cooperative and Active Perception in Robotics	
Multi-Robot Planning for Perception of MultipleRegions of InterestTiago Pereira, A. Paulo G.M. Moreira, and Manuela Veloso	275
Low Resolution Lidar-Based Multi-Object Tracking for Driving Applications Iván del Pino, Víctor Vaquero, Beatrice Masini, Joan Solà, Francesc Moreno-Noguer, Alberto Sanfeliu, and Juan Andrade-Cetto	287
From Fast to Accurate Wireless Map Reconstruction for Human Positioning Systems Eduardo Ferrera, Jesús Capitán, and Pedro Joše Marrón	299
Cooperative Cloud SLAM on Matrix Lie Groups Kruno Lenac, Josip Ćesić, Ivan Marković, and Ivan Petrović	311
<b>Circle Formation in Multi-robot Systems with Limited Visibility</b> Eman Hasan, Khaled Al-Wahedi, Belal Jumah, Diana W. Dawoud, and Jorge Dias	323
A Multidrone Approach for Autonomous Cinematography Planning Arturo Torres-González, Jesús Capitán, Rita Cunha, Anibal Ollero, and Ioannis Mademlis	337

#### **Robots for Healthcare**

Appropriate Spherical Coordinate Model for Trocar           Port Constraint in Robotic Surgery           Anthony Remazeilles, Miguel Prada, and Irati Rasines	353
Practical Aspects of Deploying Robotherapy Systems	367
Enhancing a Robotic Rehabilitation Model for Hand-Arm Bimanual Intensive Therapy Enrique García Estévez, Irene Díaz Portales, José Carlos Pulido, Raquel Fuentetaja, and Fernando Fernández	379
LifeBots I: Building the Software Infrastructure for Supporting Lifelong Technologies Antonio Bandera, Juan P. Bandera, Pablo Bustos, Fernando Férnandez, Angel García-Olaya, Javier García-Polo, Ismael García-Varea, Luis J. Manso, Rebeca Marfil, Jesús Martínez-Gómez, Pedro Núñez, Jose M. Perez-Lorenzo, Pedro Reche-Lopez, Cristina Romero-González, and Raquel Viciana-Abad	391
CLARC: A Cognitive Robot for Helping Geriatric Doctors in Real Scenarios Dimitri Voilmy, Cristina Suárez, Adrian Romero-Garcés, Cristian Reuther, Jose Carlos Pulido, Rebeca Marfil, Luis J. Manso, Karine Lan Hing Ting, Ana Iglesias, Jose Carlos González, Javier García, Angel García-Olaya, Raquel Fuentetaja, Fernando Fernández, Alvaro Dueñas, Luis Vicente Calderita, Pablo Bustos, T. Barile, Juan Pedro Bandera, and Antonio Bandera	403
Ontologies and Knowledge Representation for Robotics Heterogeneous Ontologies and Hybrid Reasoning for Service Robotics: The EASE Framework John Bateman, Michael Beetz, Daniel Beßler, Asil Kaan Bozcuoğlu, and Mihai Pomarlan	417
Towards an Ontology for Task and Planning in AutonomousSystems: An Emergency ScenarioJulita Bermejo-Alonso, Jorge Salvador, and Ricardo Sanz	429
Knowledge and Tasks Representation for an Industrial Robotic Application Rodrigo Bernardo, Rodolfo Farinha, and Paulo J.S. Gonçalves	441
<b>An Ontology Framework for Physics-Based Manipulation Planning</b> Mohammed Diab, Muhayyuddin, Aliakbar Akbari, and Jan Rosell	452

What Can Ontologies Do for Robot Design? Francisco Ramos, Alberto Olivares-Alarcos, Andrés Salomón Vázquez, and Raúl Fernández	465
Deep Semantic Abstractions of Everyday Human Activities Jakob Suchan and Mehul Bhatt	477
Simulation in Robotics	
Artificial Flagellum Microrobot. Design and Simulationin COMSOLEnrique Mancha, José Emilio Traver, Inés Tejado, Javier Prieto,Blas M. Vinagre, and Vicente Feliu	491
Knowledge-Oriented Physics-Based Motion Planning for Grasping Under Uncertainty Muhayyuddin, Aliakbar Akbari, and Jan Rosell	502
A Simulation Tool for Visualizing the Assembly Modes and Singularity Locus of 3RPR Planar Parallel Robots Adrián Peidró, Óscar Reinoso, José María Marín, Arturo Gil, Luis Payá, and Yerai Berenguer	516
On the Use of Mixed Reality for Setting up Control and Coordination Strategies for Teams of Autonomous UAV F. Orjales, F. Lopez Peña, A. Paz-Lopez, A. Deibe, and R.J. Duro	529
Flexible Work Cell Simulator Using Digital Twin Methodology         for Highly Complex Systems in Industry 4.0         Pedro Tavares, Joao André Silva, Pedro Costa, Germano Veiga,         and António Paulo Moreira	541
Vision and Learning for Robotics (I)	
Automatic Selection of User Samples for a Non-collaborativeFace Verification SystemFernando E. Casado, Carlos V. Regueiro, Roberto Iglesias,Xosé M. Pardo, and Eric López	555
Robot Semantic Localization Through CNN Descriptors Edmanuel Cruz, José Carlos Rangel, and Miguel Cazorla	567
<b>3D Object Mapping Using a Labelling System</b>	579
Robust Hand Pose Regression Using Convolutional	
Neural Networks         Second Se	591

<b>3D Semantic Maps for Scene Segmentation</b> Cristina Romero-González, Jesus Martínez-Gómez, and Ismael García-Varea	603
<b>Detecting and Manipulating Objects with a Social Robot:</b> <b>An Ambient Assisted Living Approach</b>	613
Vision and Learning for Robotics (II)	
Learning Leg Pattern Using Laser Range Finder in Mobile Robots Eugenio Aguirre, Miguel García-Silvente, and Marcelo García-Pérez	627
Movement Direction Estimation Using Omnidirectional Images in a SLAM Algorithm	640
How to Transfer a Semantic Segmentation Model from Autonomous Driving to Other Domains? Ana B. Cambra, Adolfo Muñoz, and Ana C. Murillo	652
Inertial Navigation with Mobile Devices: A Robust Step Count Model Jacobo Lopez-Fernandez, Roberto Iglesias, Carlos V. Regueiro, and Fernando E. Casado	666
Fine 3D Path Following of a Quadcopter         Second	679
Aerial Robotics for Inspection	
Testing the Control Architecture of a Micro-Aerial Vehiclefor Visual Inspection of VesselsFrancisco Bonnin-Pascual, Alberto Ortiz, Emilio Garcia-Fidalgo,and Joan P. Company-Corcoles	693
Efficient Inspection of Underground Galleries Using k Robots         with Limited Energy         S. Bereg, L.E. Caraballo, and J.M. Díaz-Báñez	706
Sensor-Based 3-D Pose Estimation and Control of Rotary-Wing UAVs Using a 2-D LiDAR Alexandre Gomes, Bruno J. Guerreiro, Rita Cunha, Carlos Silvestre, and Paulo Oliveira	718

<b>Design of a High Performance Dual Arm Aerial Manipulator</b> Pedro Grau, Alejandro Suarez, Victor Manuel Vega, Angel Rodriguez-Castaño, and Anibal Ollero	730
Experimental Approach to the Aerodynamic Effects Produced in Multirotors Flying Close to Obstacles P.J. Sanchez-Cuevas, Guillermo Heredia, and Anibal Ollero	742
Aerial Robotics (I)	
Simulation Environment for the Evaluation and Design of Reactive Obstacle Avoidance Algorithms in UAS Operating in Low Altitude Airspace	755
Obstacle Avoidance Framework Based on Reach Sets	768
and Pavel Klang	
A Montecarlo Reactive Navigation Algorithm for a Dual Arm Aerial Robot Fran Real, Ángel R. Castaño, and Jesús Capitán	780
Feature Based Potential Field for Low-Level Active	
Visual Navigation	791
Aerial Robotics (II)	
Aerial Image Mosaicking for Multi-purpose Civil Applications Abdulla Al-Kaff, Juan Camilo Soto Triviño, Raúl Sosa San Frutos, Arturo de la Escalera, and José María Armingol Moreno	803
Command-Filtered Backstepping Redesign for Aerial Manipulators Under Aerodynamic and Operational Disturbances Carlos R. de Cos, José Ángel Acosta, and Anibal Ollero	817
Evasive Maneuvering for UAVs: An MPC Approach	829
Cuttlefish Optimization Algorithm in Autotuning of Altitude Controller of Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Wojciech Giernacki, Tadeo Espinoza Fraire, and Piotr Kozierski	841

xxiii

#### Robotic and Unmaned Vehicles for Security

A Game of Drones: Game Theoretic Approaches for Multi-robot Task Allocation in Security Missions	855
Enhancing RRT Planning for Interception with Distance and Probability Maps Based on FMM David Garzón-Ramos, Mario Garzón, Jorge De León, and Antonio Barrientos	867
Ultra-Wideband Time of Flight Based Localization System and Odometry Fusion for a Scanning 3 DoF Magnetic Field Autonomous Robot Jose Lima and Paulo Costa	879
Quality Study of Robot Trajectories Based on the AnisotropicFast Marching MethodSantiago Garrido, Luis Moreno, Fernando Martin, and David Alvarez	891
Author Index	903

## A Simulation Tool for Visualizing the Assembly Modes and Singularity Locus of 3RPR Planar Parallel Robots

Adrián Peidró<sup>(⊠)</sup>, Óscar Reinoso, José María Marín, Arturo Gil, Luis Payá, and Yerai Berenguer

> Systems Engineering and Automation Department, Universidad Miguel Hernández, Elche, Spain {adrian.peidro,o.reinoso,jmarin,arturo.gil,lpaya, yerai.berenguer}@goumh.umh.es

**Abstract.** This paper presents a graphical and intuitive tool for simulating the forward kinematics of planar parallel <u>3RPR</u> robots with arbitrary geometric design. The proposed tool allows the user to visualize the singularity locus of the robot and the evolution of all the solutions to its forward kinematic problem in the complex plane. The user can modify all the geometric design parameters of the robot and instantaneously visualize the effect of these modifications on the singularity locus. As the presented examples illustrate, the proposed tool is especially useful for visualizing the coalescence of different solutions of the forward kinematic problem when approaching higher-order singularities, as well as for visualizing how these special singularities transform when perturbing the different geometric parameters of the robot.

**Keywords:** Assembly modes  $\cdot$  Forward kinematics  $\cdot$  Parallel robot  $\cdot$  Simulator  $\cdot$  Singularity

#### 1 Introduction

Parallel robots are manipulators in which two or more legs, connected in parallel, are used to control the position and/or orientation of a mobile platform. Generally, these robots offer high dynamic characteristics, a high payload-toweight ratio, and high stiffness. Currently, there exist different simulation tools and packages for studying diverse aspects of parallel robots, such as: work- and configuration-spaces and singularities (*GIM* [14], *CUIK Suite* [15], *SinguLab* [2]), forward kinematics and assembly modes (*Bertini* [1]), dynamics and control (*Matlab/Simulink* [7] and *ADAMS* [5]), and path planning [15].

A central topic in the study of parallel robots is the analysis of parallel singularities, which are the configurations at which it is not possible to control the motion of the mobile platform of the robot by means of its actuators. All parallel singularities are gathered to form the *singularity locus*, whose concrete shape depends on the geometric design of the robot. When modifying the design

© Springer International Publishing AG 2018

A. Ollero et al. (eds.), ROBOT 2017: Third Iberian Robotics Conference, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 693, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-70833-1\_42

of the robot, the shape and features of the singularity locus vary, which may affect the ability of the robot to reconfigure itself in a controlled manner [16].

PaRoLa (Parallel Robotics Laboratory, http://arvc.umh.es/parola) is a Javabased educational virtual laboratory, developed for simulating and facilitating the comprehension and analysis of diverse kinematic [9] and dynamic [12,13] aspects of parallel robots. One of the main objectives of PaRoLa is to facilitate the graphical analysis of the relationship between the geometric design of the robot and its singularity locus, as mentioned in the previous paragraph. By using PaRoLa, it is possible to vary the different design parameters of a parallel robot and instantaneously visualize how the singularity locus of the robot transforms as a consequence. This feature is very useful for designing parallel robots.

This paper contributes a new graphical and intuitive simulation tool of the virtual laboratory PaRoLa, for simulating the forward kinematics and visualizing the parallel singularities of general 3RPR planar parallel robots. The 3RPR robot is one of the most widely studied parallel robots, since its forward kinematics and singularity locus present the sufficient richness and simplicity to facilitate the study of important problems, such as higher-order singularities [18] and non-singular transitions between different solutions of the forward kinematic problem [19]. The tool presented in this paper is especially useful for graphically studying problems like these, as it will be shown through diverse illustrative examples.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the forward kinematics and singularities of the general  $3R\underline{P}R$  parallel robot. Section 3 describes the tool developed to simulate this robot. Section 4 illustrates the usefulness of the proposed tool through three examples. Finally, Sect. 5 concludes this paper.

#### 2 The 3RPR parallel robot

This section presents the  $3R\underline{P}R$  planar parallel robot, as well as two aspects of this robot which can be analyzed through the simulation tool presented in this paper, namely: its forward kinematic problem and its parallel singularities. Figure 1 depicts a general  $3R\underline{P}R$  planar parallel robot. This robot is composed of a fixed platform ACF, a mobile platform BDE, and three actuated legs {AB, CD, EF} of type R\underline{P}R (Revolute-Prismatic-Revolute), which connect both platforms and control the position and orientation of the mobile platform. The geometry of the fixed platform is defined by parameters { $c_2, c_3, d_3$ }, whereas the geometry of the mobile platform is defined by { $l_1, l_3, \beta$ } (see Fig. 1).

By regulating the lengths  $\{\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3\}$  of the actuated legs, one can control the position and orientation of the mobile platform in the plane. The position of the mobile platform can be defined by the polar coordinates  $(\rho_3, \theta_3)$  of joint E, whereas its orientation can be defined by the angle  $\phi$  between edges BE and AC (see Fig. 1). The forward kinematic problem consists in finding the position and orientation of the mobile platform for known lengths  $\{\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3\}$  (inputs). The unknown angles  $\{\theta_3, \phi\}$  (outputs) can be solved from Eqs. (1) and (2):

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} c_3 + \rho_3 \cos \theta_3 - l_3 \cos \phi \\ d_3 + \rho_3 \sin \theta_3 - l_3 \sin \phi \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 - \rho_1^2 = 0$$
(1)



Fig. 1. General 3RPR planar parallel robot, following the notation used in [4].

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} c_3 - c_2 + \rho_3 \cos \theta_3 + l_1 \cos(\phi + \pi - \beta) \\ d_3 + \rho_3 \sin \theta_3 + l_1 \sin(\phi + \pi - \beta) \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 - \rho_2^2 = 0$$
(2)

Equations (1) and (2) express the conditions that the lengths of legs AB and CD must be  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , respectively. These equations can be solved via elimination [8]: by using Eqs. (1) and (2) to eliminate  $\theta_3$ , one can arrive at a sextic polynomial equation in  $\tan(\phi/2)$ , from which 6 solutions for  $\phi$  can be obtained. These solutions will be real or complex depending on  $\{c_2, c_3, d_3, l_1, l_3, \beta, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3\}$ . For each solution of  $\phi$  (either real or complex), one can use Eqs. (1) and (2) to obtain a unique solution for  $\theta_3$  [8]. Thus, the forward kinematics of this robot has 6 different solutions or assembly modes  $\mathbf{y}^j = [\theta_3^j, \phi^j]^T$  ( $j = 1, \ldots, 6$ ), where each solution has two components  $\theta_3^j$  and  $\phi^j$ , which are complex numbers in general:

$$\theta_3^j = \operatorname{Re}(\theta_3^j) + i \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\theta_3^j), \quad \phi^j = \operatorname{Re}(\phi^j) + i \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\phi^j) \quad (i = \text{imaginary unit}) \quad (3)$$

Note that, when any of the two components of a given solution  $\mathbf{y}^{j}$  is complex, this means that the robot cannot be physically assembled in  $\mathbf{y}^{j}$  for the considered geometry and inputs  $\{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\}$ , i.e., in this case the solution  $\mathbf{y}^{j}$  is not valid (only real solutions are physically possible configurations for the robot). Nevertheless, although complex solutions have no physical meaning, it is interesting to consider and represent also these solutions, to visualize how they evolve when the configuration of the robot approaches different singular configurations. Unlike other existing tools and packages, the tool presented in Sect. 3 allows the user to graphically visualize the trajectories described by the complex solutions of the forward kinematic problem as the inputs  $\{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\}$  are varied along the joint space. This feature of the proposed tool is especially useful for visualizing how different solutions of the forward kinematic problem coalesce into highermultiplicity solutions when approaching higher-order kinematic singularities.

#### 2.1 Parallel Singularities of the 3RPR parallel robot

Parallel singularities of the 3RPR robot occur when [8]:

$$\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \theta_3} \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial \theta_3} = 0 \tag{4}$$

where  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  denote the left-hand sides of Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively. Equation (4) defines the singularity locus of robot  $3R\underline{P}R$ , which generically is a surface in space  $(\rho_3, \theta_3, \phi)$ , which contains all singular configurations at which it is not possible to control the motion of the mobile platform by means of the linear actuators {AB, CD, EF}. Geometrically, these singular configurations occur when the three lines {AB, CD, EF} are parallel or intersect at the same point. This can be visualized using the tool presented in Sect. 3.

By solving the inverse kinematic problem using Eqs. (1) and (2), it is possible to represent the surface defined by Eq. (4) in the joint space  $(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3)$ . The planar slices of the surface of singularities in the joint space are singularity curves, which contain *special points* such as cusps, self-intersections, isolated points, and other higher-order singularities [3,16]. These special points are related to the ability of the robot to perform transitions between different solutions of the forward kinematic problem without crossing singularities (*non-singular transitions*), which is useful for enlarging the workspace of the robot [17]. When the joint coordinates approach these singularity curves, at least two different solutions of the forward kinematic problem coalesce.

The shape of the singularity curves depends on the geometric design parameters of the robot:  $\{c_2, c_3, d_3, l_1, l_3, \beta\}$ . When altering the design of the robot, the shape of the singularity curves changes and, as a consequence, they may acquire or lose special points like those mentioned in the previous paragraph (cusps, isolated points, self-intersections, etc.). Hence, some kinematic characteristics of the robot may be affected when altering the design of the robot (e.g., the robot may lose the ability to perform non-singular transitions if all its cusps disappear). The tool presented in the next section allows the user to visualize how the singularity curves transform when modifying the design of the 3RPR robot. In particular, the proposed tool is especially useful for visualizing how the mentioned special points of these curves transform, as we will demonstrate later through some examples.

#### 3 The Developed Tool

This section describes the virtual tool developed for simulating the forward kinematic problem of the 3RPR parallel robot and visualizing its singularity locus for any geometric design. The tool has been developed using Easy Java Simulations (http://fem.um.es/Ejs) and can be downloaded from http://arvc.umh.es/ parola/3RPR.html (the latest version of Java may be required).

The developed tool is shown in Fig. 2. The tool has two windows (w1) and (w2). Window (w1) has three panels (p1), (p2), and (p3). Panel (p1) represents the 3RPR robot, whereas panel (p3) presents sliders and numeric fields for modifying the geometry of the robot or the joint coordinates. Central panel (p2) represents the coordinate planes of the joint space, i.e., the planes ( $\rho_1, \rho_2$ ), ( $\rho_2, \rho_3$ ), and ( $\rho_1, \rho_3$ ). Each plane ( $\rho_m, \rho_n$ ) represents the singularity locus assuming that the remaining joint coordinate  $\rho_k$  is kept constant (i.e., planar slices of



**Fig. 2.** Tool developed for simulating and studying the kinematics and singularities of  $3R\underline{P}R$  robots. When placing the mouse pointer on any element of the developed interface (e.g., a button or numerical field), a small message explaining the function of that element pops up below the pointer.

the surface of singularities are represented). Therefore, when  $\rho_k$  (or any geometric parameter) is varied in the simulator, the singularity curves shown in plane  $(\rho_m, \rho_n)$  transform instantaneously.

The forward kinematics can be simulated by dragging the tiny magenta square available in any of the coordinate planes of panel (p2), or by dragging the sliders of panel (p3). When modifying the joint coordinates through any of these methods, the simulator automatically solves the forward kinematic problem and represents graphically in window (w2) the six solutions to this problem.

Window (w2) also has three panels (p4), (p5), and (p6). Panel (p4) represents the  $\theta_3$  component of the six solutions of the forward kinematic problem in the complex plane. Similarly, panel (p5) represents the  $\phi$  component of the six solutions in the complex plane. Note that in both these panels, the solutions wrap around the horizontal real axis (whose length is  $2\pi$  rad), because the real parts of all solutions are angles [10,12]. In panels (p4) and (p5), each of the six solutions is represented by a different color (red, green, blue, cyan, magenta, and yellow). Finally, panel (p6) allows the user to select which solution should be adopted by the robot in panel (p1). Alternatively, the desired solution can also be selected by directly clicking on the colored points in panels (p4) or (p5) (the currently selected solution is indicated by an orange square enclosing it in these panels). Note that, if a non-real solution is selected, the configuration adopted by the robot in panel (p1) will be incorrect.

#### 4 Illustrative Examples

This section presents three examples that illustrate the usefulness of the proposed tool to visually analyze the forward kinematics and singularities of  $3R\underline{P}R$  parallel robots. Although the presented tool can be used for analyzing any geometric design of the  $3R\underline{P}R$  robot, it is especially useful and interesting for studying nongeneric or special designs, for which the singularity curves exhibit higher-order unstable singularities, which disappear when one of the geometric parameters of the robot is perturbed [16]. Next, the presented tool will be used to analyze the forward kinematics and singularities of three designs of the  $3R\underline{P}R$  robot that exhibit higher-order singularities.

#### 4.1 Example 1: Deltoid Singularity

Consider first a 3RPR robot with the following geometric design G1:  $c_2 = 1.5$ ,  $c_3 = 0.5, d_3 = 0, l_1 = l_3 = 0.5, \beta = \pi$  rad. This design, analyzed in [8], corresponds with a 3RPR robot in which both the mobile ( $\beta = \pi$ ) and fixed  $(d_3 = 0)$  platforms are flat segments instead of triangles, as shown in Fig. 3. This design is interesting for working in vertical planes [4]. For this geometry, and for  $\rho_3 = 1$ , the singularity locus of the robot in the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane exhibits an isolated point  $S1 = (\rho_1 = 1, \rho_2 = 1.5)$ , as indicated in Fig. 4a. This isolated point is a singularity with multiplicity four [10], which means that, when approaching S1 in the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane, four different solutions of the forward kinematic problem converge to a single real solution whose multiplicity is four. This convergence can be verified using the presented simulator. For example, setting  $\rho_2 = 1.5$  in the simulator and using the slider to vary  $\rho_1$  from 1.2 to 1 (describing a horizontal trajectory T1 that approaches S1 in the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane, as indicated in Fig. 4a), then the solutions of the forward kinematic problem will evolve as depicted in Fig. 3, which shows the evolution of the  $\theta_3$  component of the six solutions in the complex plane. As Fig. 3 shows, when approaching the isolated singularity S1 along trajectory T1 (i.e., when  $\rho_1 \rightarrow 1$ ), four (two real and two complex) different solutions converge to a single real solution ( $\theta_3 = \pi$ ). This coalescence of four solutions occurs not only for the  $\theta_3$  component of these solutions, but also for their  $\phi$  components, which converge to  $\phi = 0$  (this can be verified using the proposed simulator). The coalescence of different solutions in only one



**Fig. 3.** When approaching the isolated singularity S1 of Fig. 4a, real solutions  $\{1, 2\}$  and complex solutions  $\{3, 4\}$  converge to a real quadruple solution ( $\theta_3 = \pi, \phi = 0$ ). The evolution of the posture of the robot for real solution #1 is represented. As shown in (c), both flat platforms are aligned at the quadruple solution.

component ( $\theta_3$  or  $\phi$ ) is not a kinematic singularity but a self-intersection of a reduced configuration space<sup>1</sup> of the robot [10].

Besides representing the evolution of the solutions to the forward kinematic problem, the proposed tool also allows the user to visualize how the singularity curves transform when altering the geometry of the robot. In particular, we can use the presented tool to visualize how the isolated singularity S1 transforms when perturbing each of the six geometric parameters of the robot. If, departing from the geometry G1, any of the four parameters  $\{c_2, c_3, l_1, l_3\}$  is varied using the sliders of the simulator, it can be observed that the isolated singularity S1 moves along the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane, without changing its shape (it always remains as a point). However, if  $d_3$  or  $\beta$  are perturbed away from their original values  $(d_3 = 0 \text{ and } \beta = \pi)$  in any direction (such that at least one of the two platforms is no longer a segment but a triangle, see Fig. 4), the isolated singularity S1 always transforms into a deltoid curve (i.e., a closed curve with three cusps) whose size increases with the perturbation, as depicted in Fig. 4. The transformation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reduced configuration spaces are typically used for analyzing non-singular transitions in robots with 2 degrees of freedom, which can be accomplished in the 3RPR robot by keeping constant one input (e.g.,  $\rho_3 = \text{constant}$ ). In that case, the (complete) configuration space is the real solution set S of Eqs. (1) and (2) in the 4D space  $(\rho_1, \rho_2, \theta_3, \phi)$ . The projection of S on a 3D subspace whose axes are the two inputs  $(\rho_1$ and  $\rho_2$ ) and one output  $(\theta_3 \text{ or } \phi)$  is a reduced configuration space, which is a surface. For example, the self-intersection of this surface in the 3D subspace  $(\rho_1, \rho_2, \phi)$  means that the  $\phi$  components of different solutions coincide, but this is not a kinematic singularity unless the  $\theta_3$  components of these very solutions also meet.



Fig. 4. Transformation of the singularity locus when perturbing geometry G1. When increasing  $\beta$ , the mobile platform is no longer flat and the isolated singularity S1 transforms into a deltoid curve.

of this isolated singularity into a deltoid only depends on  $d_3$  and  $\beta$  [11], which are precisely the only two parameters that determine if the mobile and fixed platforms are flat ( $d_3 = 0, \beta = \pi$ ) or triangular ( $d_3 \neq 0, \beta \neq \pi$ ).

#### 4.2 Example 2: Lips Singularity

Consider the following geometry G2:  $c_2 = 1.4$ ,  $c_3 = 2$ ,  $d_3 = -1.5$ ,  $l_1 = 1.06$ ,  $l_3 = 1.1, \beta = 5.65$  rad. Unlike in the previous example, in this case both the fixed and mobile platforms are triangular (see Fig. 6). For a robot with this geometry, and for  $\rho_3 \approx 2.8003041$ , the singularity locus in the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane exhibits an isolated singularity at  $S2 = (\rho_1 \approx 0.9541219110, \rho_2 \approx 0.3033191642)$ (see Fig. 5b). This isolated singularity is a higher-order singularity of the *lips* type, such that the perturbation of any geometric design parameter of the robot either destroys this isolated singularity or transforms it into a bicuspid closed curve, depending on which geometric parameter is perturbed and on the sign of its perturbation [11, 16]. This behavior can be verified using the proposed tool. For example, departing from the geometry G2 and slightly decreasing  $c_2$ using the presented simulator, the isolated point S2 becomes a small bicuspid closed curve (a magnified and rotated view of this curve is shown in Fig. 5a, since this curve is too flat to properly distinguish its shape in this figure). On the contrary, slightly increasing  $c_2$  (departing from the geometry G2) destroys the isolated singularity S2, which disappears from the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane (Fig. 5c).

To check the behavior of the solutions of the forward kinematic problem at the isolated singularity S2 of Fig. 5b, we can simulate in the proposed tool a



**Fig. 5.** Transformation of the singularity locus when perturbing geometry G2. The isolated singularity S2 shown in (b) transforms into a closed bicuspid curve when decreasing  $c_2$  (a), whereas it disappears when increasing  $c_2$  (c).



**Fig. 6.** Coalescence of three solutions when approaching the isolated singularity S2. The convergence of solutions 1 (magenta), 2 (yellow), and 3 (green) occurs in the complex planes of both components  $\theta_3$  and  $\phi$ , although this figure only shows the coalescence of the  $\phi$  components. The evolution of the posture of the robot is shown for real solution #3.

trajectory in the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane that approaches this singularity. For example, setting  $\rho_1 = 0.9541219110$  in the simulator and varying  $\rho_2$  from 0.6 to 0.3033191642 using the slider (i.e., describing a vertical trajectory T2 that approaches S2 in the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane, as shown in Fig. 5b), we can observe the coalescence of three different solutions, as shown in Fig. 6. Therefore, the isolated singularity S2 of Fig. 5b presents a triple solution to the forward kinematic problem (besides a simple solution, also indicated in Fig. 6c). This can be easily understood considering that the isolated point S2 is a (stable) cusp point (at which a triple solution occurs) when visualized in the other two joint planes  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$  and  $(\rho_1, \rho_3)$  (this can be easily visualized in the proposed tool).

#### 4.3 Example 3: Swallowtail Singularity

Consider now the following geometry G3, studied in [18]:  $c_2 = 1$ ,  $c_3 = 0.203832$ ,  $d_3 = 0.0508422, l_1 = 1.839226003, l_3 = 0.3876449159, \beta = 2.968$  rad. As shown in Fig. 7, this geometry corresponds with a 3RPR robot which has almost flat platforms, similar to the example of Subsection 4.1 (in which both platforms were *exactly* flat). For this geometry, and for  $\rho_3 = 0.891465$ , the singularity locus in the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane exhibits a higher-order singularity at point  $S3 = (\rho_1 \approx 0.9500311490225363, \rho_2 \approx 1.6500225946172906)$ , indicated in Fig. 8b. This singularity S3 has third degree of shakiness [18], which corresponds to a solution of the forward kinematic problem with multiplicity four [6]. Next, we will verify the occurrence of such a quadruple solution using the simulator presented in this paper. Setting  $\rho_2 = 1.6500225946172906$  in the corresponding numeric field of the simulator, and using the slider to vary  $\rho_1$  from 1.2 to 0.9500311490225363, a horizontal trajectory T3 is described in the  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  plane (see Fig. 8b). The evolution of the six solutions of the forward kinematic problem along trajectory T3 is depicted in Fig. 7, which shows the coalescence of four different solutions (two real and two complex) into a quadruple solution. Although Fig. 7 only shows the coalescence of the  $\theta_3$  component of four solutions,



Fig. 7. Coalescence of four solutions (real solutions 1 and 2, and complex solutions 3 and 4) when approaching the singularity S3. The evolution of the posture of the robot for real solution #1 (blue) is represented.



Fig. 8. Transformation of the singularity locus when perturbing geometry G3.

the  $\phi$  components of these solutions also coalesce (this can be checked using the simulator).

To conclude, we can visualize in the proposed tool how singularity S3 transforms when altering geometry G3. For example, decreasing angle  $\beta$  transforms S3 into a self-intersecting loop with two cusps (see Fig. 8a). On the contrary, increasing  $\beta$  destroys the singularity S3, such that the portion of the singularity curves in the proximity of S3 becomes smooth (see Fig. 8c). This shows that the singularity S3 is of the *swallowtail* type [16].

#### 5 Conclusions and Future Work

This paper has presented a graphic and intuitive simulation tool for studying the forward kinematics of general  $3R\underline{P}R$  planar parallel robots. When varying the joint coordinates of the robot, the tool shows the trajectories described in the complex plane by the different solutions of the forward kinematic problem. Also, the user can freely modify any geometric parameter of the robot and instantaneously visualize how this deforms its singularity locus. Through some examples, we have demonstrated the usefulness of the proposed tool for visualizing the coalescence of different assembly modes when approaching higher-order singularities, as well as for visualizing how these singularities transform when perturbing the geometric design of the robot.

In the future, new features will be added to the presented tool. We will allow the user to load arbitrary 3D joint trajectories in the simulator, so that the forward kinematics can be simulated along any trajectory in the joint space. Also, the dynamics of the robot will be implemented in order to properly simulate singular transitions between different assembly modes, as suggested in [13].

Acknowledgments. Work supported by the Spanish Ministries of Education (grant No. FPU13/00413) and Economy (project No. DPI 2016-78361-R).

#### References

- Bates, D.J., Hauenstein, J.D., Sommese, A.J., Wampler, C.W.: Numerically Solving Polynomial Systems with Bertini Software, Environments, and Tools, vol. 25. SIAM, Delhi (2013). ISBN 978-1-611972-69-6
- Ben-Horin, P., Shoham, M., Caro, S., Chablat, D., Wenger, P.: SinguLab a graphical user interface for the singularity analysis of parallel robots based on Grassmann-Cayley Algebra. In: Lenarčič, J., Wenger, P. (eds.) Advances in Robot Kinematics: Analysis and Design, pp. 49–58. Springer Science+Business Media B.V. (2008)
- Coste, M., Wenger, P., Chablat, D.: Hidden cusps. In: 15th International Symposium on Advances in Robot Kinematics (2016)
- Gosselin, C.M., Sefrioui, J.: Polynomial solutions for the direct kinematic problem of planar three-degree-of-freedom parallel manipulators. In: Fifth International Conference on Advanced Robotics: 'Robots in Unstructured Environments', pp. 1124–1129. IEEE (1991)
- Hajimirzaalian, H., Moosavi, H., Massah, M.: Dynamics analysis and simulation of parallel robot Stewart platform. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Computer and Automation Engineering, pp. 472–477. IEEE (2010)
- Husty, M.L.: On Singularities of Planar 3-RPR Parallel Manipulators. In: Proceedings of the 14th IFToMM World Congress, pp. 417–422 (2015)
- Li, Y., Xu, Q.: Dynamic modeling and robust control of a 3-PRC translational parallel kinematic machine. Robot. Comput.-Integr. Manuf. 25(3), 630–640 (2009)
- Peidró, A., Gil, A., Marín, J.M., Payá, L., Reinoso, Ó.: On the stability of the quadruple solutions of the forward kinematic problem in analytic parallel robots. J. Intell. Robot. Syst. 86(3–4), 381–396 (2017)
- Peidró, A., Gil, A., Marín, J.M., Reinoso, Ó.: A web-based tool to analyze the kinematics and singularities of parallel robots. J. Intell. Robot. Syst. 81(1), 145– 163 (2016)
- Peidró, A., Marín, J.M., Gil, A., Reinoso, Ó.: Performing nonsingular transitions between assembly modes in analytic parallel manipulators by enclosing quadruple solutions. ASME J. Mech. Des. 137(12), 122302–122310 (2015)
- Peidró, A., Reinoso, Ó., Gil, A., Marín, J.M., Payá, L., Berenguer, Y.: Secondorder taylor stability analysis of isolated kinematic singularities of closed-chain mechanisms. In: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Informatics in Control, Automation, and Robotics, ICINCO 2017, vol. 2, pp. 351–358 (2017)
- Peidró, A., Reinoso, Ó., Gil, A., Marín, J.M., Payá, L.: A simulation tool to study the kinematics and control of 2R PR-PR parallel robots. IFAC-PapersOnLine 49(6), 268–273 (2016)
- Peidró, A., Reinoso, O., Gil, A., Marín, J.M., Payá, L.: A virtual laboratory to simulate the control of parallel robots. IFAC-PapersOnLine 48(29), 19–24 (2015)
- Petuya, V., Macho, E., Altuzarra, O., Pinto, C., Hernandez, A.: Educational software tools for the kinematic analysis of mechanisms. Comp. Appl. Eng. Educ. 22(1), 72–86 (2014)
- Porta, J.M., Ros, L., Bohigas, O., Manubens, M., Rosales, C., Jaillet, L.: The CUIK Suite: analyzing the motion of closed-chain multibody systems. IEEE Robot. Autom. Mag. 21(3), 105–114 (2014)
- Thomas, F., Wenger, P.: On the topological characterization of robot singularity loci. a catastrophe-theoretic approach. In: Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp. 3940–3945. IEEE (2011)

- Urízar, M., Petuya, V., Altuzarra, O., Diez, M., Hernández, A.: Non-singular transitions based design methodology for parallel manipulators. Mech. Mach. Theory 91, 168–186 (2015)
- 18. Wohlhart, K.: Degrees of shakiness. Mech. Mach. Theory 34(7), 1103-1126 (1999)
- Zein, M., Wenger, P., Chablat, D.: Non-singular assembly-mode changing motions for 3-R PR parallel manipulators. Mech. Mach. Theory 43(4), 480–490 (2008)